









Will de

Arbor Yemensis fructum Cosè ferens:

OR, A

DESCRIPTION

AND

HISTORY

OF THE

COFFEE TREE.

By Dr. JAMES DOUGLAS, Honorary Fellow of the Royal College of Physicians, London: And Fellow of the Royal Society.



LONDON:

Printed for Thomas Woodward, at the Half-Moon over-against St. Dunstan's Church, in Fleet-street.

M, DCC, XXVII.

K

THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF

26.60

the least of the second will

1 150

13 10 10

the transfer of the transfer o



PREFACE.

In my Botanical Description of the Cossee Berry, published fome Time ago, I acquainted the Readers with my Design of treating the whole Cossee Plant, as soon as I should have convenient Opportunities of finishing the Observations about it which I had then actually begun to make. The Delays and Disficulties I have met with in going through this Enquiry, have been greater than I at first apprehended; and I cannot say I have as yet compleated it to my Satissaction, however, what I now venture to communicate, will, I hope, in some measure gratify the Curiosity of the Publick, and be of some real Use to those who have any Desire and Opportunity of cultivating this delightful Exotick, of the Fruit whereof there is yearly made

so vast a Consumption among us.

I begin by a List of Names that belong to this Plant, and having made some necessary Reflections upon these, I give a large Account of the Loci Natales, or Places wherein it is at present found to grow, as well in the Kingdom of Yemen in Arabia Felix, as the other Countries both in Asia, Europe and America, thro' which it has now spread it self, setting down what Informations I have been able to get concerning every Step of its Progress since it first found the Way out of its native Country. Having in the next place premised some general Observations about the Plant it self, as it has been considered by Botanical Writers, I go on to a particular Description of all the Parts of it, taken from my own repeated Observations, carry'd as great a Length as it has hitherto been in my Power to do; and I conclude by such Directory.

tions concerning the Culture and Management of it, as I con-

ceive can be of any Service to us here in England.

Upon each of these Heads I have been at Pains to collect what has been said by Authors before me; and besides the historical Facts which I set down from them, I have taken the Liberty to compare their Observations about the Plant it self with mine, as well to point out their Mistakes, as to explain the particular Discoveries made by each of them, in the same Order of

Time in which they were published.

I propos'd to have given Figures not only of all the Parts of a full grown Plant, in an agreeable Variety of instructive Views, but also of the several States of it, from the Time it first appears above the Surface of the Earth, both with respect to Age, and the Seasons of the Year; but as I have not as yet been able to perfect these in any tolerable Degree, I chuse to refer them altogether till some more happy Opportunity Shall offer; and then, together with a compleat Set of Figures, I shall be likewise in a Condition to lay before the curious Reader the History of the Invention and Progress of the Use of the Coffee Drink, both in Asia, and in the Eastern and Western Parts of Europe; of the several Methods in which it has been prepar'd since it was first known; of the first Institution of Coffee Houses, and of the Virtues which either Opinion or real Experience have ascrib'd to it. I earnestly beg of all curious Persons to impart to me what Memoirs they may be furnish'd with relating to any of these Particulars, and I Shall most willingly acknowledge the Favour in the Way I judge will be most agreeable to them.

I conclude by returning my most sincere Thanks to those learned and worthy Friends by whom I have been in any measure assisted in the Prosecution of these Enquiries; and among the rest, I must acknowledge my self in a particular Manner obliged to those two curious Gentlemen, Mr. Parker of Heling, and Mr. Sher-Rard of Eltham, to whose invaluable Gardens I had at all times free Access, whenever I found it necessary to view the Cosfee Plants, which have continued for several Years to thrive there beyond Expectation; every Season bringing them a new

Accession to their former Stock.



THE

DESCRIPTION

OF THE.

COFFEE TREE.

CHAP. I.

The Names of the Coffee Plant.

HE Names that have been given by Authors to this Plant, to particular Parts of it, and to Preparations of these Parts, I shall distribute into three Lists: The first, containing those of the whole Plant; the second, those of the Fruit; and the third, those of the Liquor made with this Fruit: And I chose to place all these three sorts of Names immediately after one another, that it may be more easy for those who include themselves in such historical Curiosities to compare them together, in the manner that they will find done in the following Chapter, or in any other that they shall think convenient.

The whole Coffee Plant taken together, may, I think, be express'd very justly in the following manner. The Botanical Reader will not be surprized to find this Name in *Latin*; nor will the Length of it be judg'd unsuitable to the Custom of Authors in that Science, on the like Occasions.

R

Arbor

Arbor Arabica Yemensis,

Perpetuâ fronde virens.

Folio Castaneæ seu Lauri haud absimili.

Flore Jasminum vulgare quodammodo referente.

Monopetalo,

In quinque segmenta diviso,.

Albo,

Odorato.

Vasculo seminali, ut plurimum, bicapsulari, nonnunquam tricapsulari, in fructum nuciformem abeunte;

E cujus nucleis, potus ille saluberrimus Coffee vulgo dictus

paratur.

Or shorter, after this manner,

Arbor Yemensis fructum Coffee Ferens.

I. The Names which have been hitherto given to the whole Plant, and which may be reckon'd fynonyma to that which I have pitch'd upon, are these.

Bon vel Ban Arbor.

J. B.

Euonymo similis Egyptiaca, fructu baccis Lauri simili.

C. B.

Arbor Bon cum fructu suo Buna.

Parkins.

Buna Alpini.

Chabr.

Café.

Bernin

Bon vel Ban,

Bonchum, Buncho, Buncha,

ಚlegny.

Elkarie Egyptiorum,

Cachua Arabum.

The Coffee Tree.

Plunknet.

Coffee Frutex ex cujus fructu fit potus.

Raii.

Coffee Arbor.

Dale.

Coffee Shrub.

Sloane.

Arbre

(3)Arbre du Buun. Galand. Arbre qui porte le Café. Arbor Persica. Filix Arabica. Lang. Bannu & Banchos Arabum. Tournef. Caffé ou Coffé. Jasminum Arabicum, Castaneæ folio, slore albo, odoratissimo, cujus fructus Cosfy in osficinis dicuntur nobis. Commelin. Jasminum Castaneæ folio, slore odoratissimo, rubro fructu qui Coffé, duro. Gelsiminum Arabicum foliis Castanea, slore albo ingenti odoratissimo. Volkam. Arbor cofè ferens.

L'Arbre du Café ou Cafier.

Jasminum Arabicum Lauri folio cujus semen apud nos Café dicitur.

Jasmin d'Arabie, a seuilles de Laurier, & dont la semence nous est connu sous le nom de Café.

Arbor Meccana.

Cheyn.

De Justieu.

II. Names of the Coffee Fruit.

Bunnu. Rauwolf. Buncho Avicenna. Buncha Rhazis. Alpin. Bon vel Ban. Buna. Clus. Eleane. Buncha Rhaz. ex Rauwolf. Cachu.

Cachu.	Cotovic.
Eunchi.	
Bunchos.	
Bunnum Rauwolf.	J. B.
Granum quo Turcæ somnum sugant Plater.	
Cahvé.	Lavalle.
Coffee.	
Cophie.	Rumsey.
Copby.	# (dilito) *
Coffé.	
Ben & Bun.	Banes.
Elcave.	Salmas.
Coffee Berry.	Grew.
Coffa.	Mundy.
Café.	Bernier.
Cahoueh Arabum.	Du Four.
Caveh Turcarum.	Du Tout.
	Berlu.
Baccae Coffee. Coho Seeds.	
Coffee, in Latin Coava.	Pechey.
Boncha.	
Boncho.	Pomet.
Elkarie.	•
Semen Coffee.	Herman.
	Buun.

Buun.	Galand.
Terris faba missa Pelasgis.	Vanier.
Coffi.	N. Lemer.
Coffee Behnen.	Lang.
Cahoven.	Volkam.
Cofea.	Quincy.
Faba Meccana quam Coffeam vocant, Fructus Arboris Meccanæ.	Chyne.
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
III. Names of the Liquor or Drin Fruit.	k prepared with the Coffee
Chaube.	Rauwolf.
Caova.	Alpin.
Choana.	Paludan.
Cave.	Bellon.
Cahua, Cava Italor.	Cotovic.
Coffa.	· Sandys.
Cahue.	Lavalle.
Cahwæ.	Olear.
The Turks berry Drink.	Parkins.
Caffé.	Tavernier.
Cophie.	
Turks Physick of Cophie	D. una Carr
Cophy.	Rumsey.
Cossee.	·
С	Cabwa.

Cabwa.		Pauli.
Cahue seu Café.		Banes.
Coffe potus.		Willis.
Café.		Bernier.
Cavet.		
Cahua.		Du Four.
Choana.		2 4 1 COL
Cahveh.		
Cauphe.		T. Blount.
Coava.	* - ·	Blegny.
Caphé. S		Diegny.
Coffi.	·	Bontekoe.
Cahouah Arab.		Galand.
Caouke Turcarum	. 5	De Jussieu.
Çoffé Anglorum & Bata	vorum S	Dojamour

CHAP. II.

Etymologia.

PY these Names, and no more, that I can find, have the Cossee Plant, the Fruit thereof, and the Liquor made with that Fruit, been expressed. The Lists I have here given of them, tho' they cannot I believe be of much solid Use, may perhaps entertain the Curiosity of some of my Readers; and since I have been at the Trouble of collecting them merely with that View, it will be still worth while to add the sew following Observations about them.

Tho' fome of these Names are common to the whole Plant, Fruit, and Liquor; there are many which are either peculiar to each, or which belong only to two of them; and therefore it was most natural to separate them into three distinct lists, instead of throwing them all into one consused heap, as has been the general practice of Botanists hitherto. Mr. Ray shall serve for an Example of this.

The Name he gives the Plant, is this, Coffee frutex ex cujus

fructu fit potus.

The Synonyma or Names he fets down from other Authors are

Bon vel Ban Arbor, J. B. Item, Buna, Bunnu, Bunchos Arabum ejusdem. Bon Arbor cum fructu suo Buna, Park. Euonymo similis Egyptiaca fructu baccis Lauri simili, C. B. Bunnu, Rauwolf.

Buna ex qua in Alexandria sit potio, Clus.

Never was there a List of Synonyma compiled with less Judgment than this; for in the first place, it is defective by above four parts in five, as will appear by comparing it with mine; and Defects of this kind are more inexcusable in Mr. Ray than in any body else; for as he has done little any where but collect from other Authors, the least that could be expected from him, is, that his Collections should be perfect, after so many Years Practice.

Again, the Order of Time, in observing which with Exactness, the greatest Beauty of such Compilations certainly consists, is entirely neglected; Rauwolfius and Clusius are placed after the two Bauhini and Parkinson.

But, which is most to my present purpose, above half his Synonyma are salle, J. B. never called the Cossee Plant Buna,

Bunnu, Bunchos Arabum; Rauwolfius never called it Bunnu; nor Clufius, Buna. It is the fruit only they have expressed by these Words, and never the Tree that bears it; and yet Mr. Ray has thought fit to rank them all as so many Synonyma for his Coffee frutex, that is, as Names given by these Authors to the whole Plant.

Later Botanists have been so far from not falling into this last mentioned Inaccuracy of Mr. Ray, that they have carried it a great deal further. This Author has only confounded the Names of the Tree and Fruit: but in another, I find the Coava Egyptiorum from Alpinus, placed as a Synonymum for the fasminum Arabicum, &c. of Commelinus; that is, a Name never applied by Alpinus to any thing but the Cossee Drink, made to signify the Tree which produces the Fruit of which that Drink is made.

2. That we may be able to take a more particular View of the Names contained in the three foregoing Lists, it will be convenient to subdivide each of them into such as Travellers tell us are used in the Eastern Countries, and those which the Europeans have either borrowed from thence, or invented of their own,

fince Coffee was known amongst them.

As to the first of these, I am surprized to find that no Traveller, except Monsseur Galand alone, has given us any Name by which the Cossee Plant it self is expressed by the Arabians, or any other Eastern People: The whole List we have given of these Names, except that of the Buun Tree, from Galand, has been coined in Europe, by those who knew nothing of the Plant, but only that it produced the Cossee Fruit; and they who have expressed it otherwise than by such a Circumlocution, have only discover'd their own Ignorance, and the little Care with which they have read the Books of Travels quoted by them. Chabraus, Blegny and Langius, are of this number; but J. B. and Parkinson, who likewise use Oriental Words in expressing the whole Plant, have kept within due Bounds.

3. The Eastern Names of the Fruit, are either such as have

some Relation to Bunchum, Bon, or Cahouah.

The first Kind, tho' there be perhaps some of them that are really Oriental Words, as Bunchum its self is, are not however to be reckon'd Eastern Names for the Cossee Fruit; because they have only been apply'd to that upon the Supposition of its being known to Rhazes and Avicenna, and called by them by the Name of Bunchum, or something like it, which we shall shew to be a Mistake. Two Persian Physicians were undoubtedly the first who sell into it; but it is from Rauwolsius that it has been handed down among European Writers.

Bon,

Bon, or rather Buun, and the other Names which consist of the same Consonants with it, seem to be those by which the Fruit has been most generally expressed in the East; but whether some part of the Variety that is to be found in them may not be owing to the Mistakes of Travellers, I leave to the Judges of the Eastern Languages to determine. Salmasius has, I think, given us one plain Instance of it in Alpinus, and Mons. Galand, (if we will believe La Roque) another in Banesius, tho' a Syrian by Birth

The third Sort of Names for the Fruit, we shall consider together with those of the Liquor, they being derived from thence.

4. Concerning thefe, the following Remarks from Authors

are worth fetting down.

'It will hardly be believed, fays Mons. Du Four, that the' Coffee has been drank for fo many Years past, we should still be ' ignorant of the true Name of it. The Authors who have ' written upon this Subject differ from one another as much in the Names they give this Liquor, as in the Qualities they alcribe ' to it. Some tell us that before it be ground it ought to be cal-' led in Latin, Bunchum; and in French, Bon; which they pro-' nounce, Bun. After it is reduced to Powder, there are a great ' many other Names given it, which have been already fet down.) But Monf. D' Arvicuse, the French Consul at Aleppo, who had . been at great pains to inform himself while he remained there, ' tells me that the true Name of the Coffee Bean, among the ' Arabians, is Cahoueh, the Arabians having no v Consonant; but the Turks, and other Eastern People, pronounce it Cabveb; and that Word is derived from Cohuet, which fignifies Strength or Vigour; and the Coffee Fruit is so called because of the Effects alcribed to it.

This Etymology did not, however, fatisfy Monf. Galand; as appears from the Account that La Roque has given us of that Part of his Book. Monf. Galand, fays he, begins by establish-'ing the original and proper Signification of the Word Coffee; and according to him it comes from Cabveb, as it is pronounced by the Turks, with an v Consonant; and it is the same thing with Cahouah, amongst the Arabians, who pronounce their v ' Consonant as the *Italians* do their Vowel u.

' Cahouah is the Infinitive of a Verb, and signifies to loath, or to have no Stomach; and it is likewise one of the different ' Names which the Arabians give to Wine, because they think

the Excess of it produces these bad Effects.

From this Signification of Wine in particular, the Word Cabouah has been extended to all forts of Drink; and therefore this Word is not used either for the Tree or Fruit, but only for the Drink made of it.

The Fruit is called Buun; and the Tree, the Buun Tree.

Mons. Galand goes on to remark some Mistakes of Banesius; and then adds, That if that great Professor could be mistaken in his own Language, it is but just to excuse Mons. Du Four's Friend, who says that Cahoueh is the Arabick Word for the Cossee Fruit; which is rather a Turkish Pronunciation than Arabian, since there is no e in their Alphabet. Moreover, that Gentleman has confounded the Term Caouä, with that of Cahouah; tho' they are

both written and pronounced very differently.

If this Account of the Original and true Signification of the Word Cahouah be just, as there is no Ground to doubt of either, considering both the extraordinary Skill of that Author in the Oriental Languages, and the long Stay he made in the East; we need be at no Loss what Judgment to make of all the Eastern Names that have been given to the Coffee Drink; they may all. be easily derived from the Arabick, Cahouah; and the Variety that is to be found in them is owing either to the real Changes they have undergone in the Mouths of the different Eastern Nations, Persians, Egyptians, Turks, &c. or to the Mistakes of Travellers, most of whom being ignorant of these Languages, have not always equally well expressed, in Writing, the Sounds by which they heard this Liquor signified in the different Countries of the East. Neither are such Mistakes to be wondred at, considering how common they are even among the different Nations of Europe at this Day; whose Languages have a nearer Relation to one another, than the Eastern Languages have to any of them. French Man, for instance, hear forty English Words distinctly pronounced one after another, several times over, it is forty to one at least, that he does not write two of them right. Examples enough of this in all the French Writings, where there is occasion to mention any English Words; and we have one in the foregoing List. Mons. De Justieu tells us very gravely, that both the English and Dutch call this Liquor Coffé: with a single é accented; only for this Reason, because he has heard the Word Coffee pronounced nearly the same way as a French Man would do, had it been spelt after his manner.

From Monf. Galand's Etymology we learn likewise, that all the Words by which the Fruit it self has been signified, that have any Resemblance to Cahouah, which make the third Class of the

Eastern Names of it, are not to be looked upon as such, being

never used in that Sense by the Orientals.

5. From these Eastern Names are derived those by which all the European Nations do ordinarily express both the Cossee Plant, Fruit and Liquor. As we had the first Knowledge of these things from them, it was natural to take their Names along with them, and only by degrees new mould them a little, according to the Genius of each particular Language into which they were adopted.

The Turkish way of pronouncing Cahouah, viz. with an v Confonant, as we have heard, occasioned first the writing of it here in England with ph, and afterwards with ff; which is equivalent thereunto. The ca we find changed into co, in Sandy's Time; i. e. in the Year 1628, in which his Travels were published; and it was near the Year 1659, that is, several Years after there were publick Coffee houses in London, before the Termination ee was fully settled; for we find Judge Rumsey and Sir Henry Blount writing this Word sometimes with ie, sometimes with ee; but . Howel always uses the latter; and it has continued ever since his time. Sir Thomas Pope Blount writes it sometimes cauphe, having found it so written, probably, in some old Book: From whence there is ground to conclude, that the Turkish ca was first changed into cau, which being pronounced pretty much the same way as co, this last, as being shorter, came at length to be used instead of it.

Variations of the like kinds, and by the like degrees, may be observed, no doubt, in the other modern European Languages: But it is not our present Business to enquire further into them.

As Coffee was unknown during all the time in which the Latin can in any Sense be said to have remained a living Language, we are not to expect any true Latin Word for it: To supply that Want, Authors who affect to find Latin for every thing, how much foever unknown to the Latins themselves, have had Recourse to the two universal Engines, which are always ready in time of Need, viz. inflecting the last Syllable of this Word into a Latin Termination, and expressing it by long Circumlocutions, which ought rather to be called Descriptions than Names. Of the first kind is the coffea, e, of Dr. Quincy, and The former is allowable enough, had the coava of Pechey. there been any Necessity for it; but the other is owing either to the Stupidity of its Author, or used with a Design to impose on the Reader: For what can be concluded from these Words, coffee is in Latin, Coava; but either that Pechey took coava for a genume Latin Word himself, or had a mind to persuade other People that it was so? It It is needless to enumerate the various things that have been made use of by Authors, as a Foundation for the Circumlocutions by which Coffee, and especially the Coffee Plant, has been expressed: It is sufficient to remark, That those most in Vogue now-a-days have been taken from the Agreements observed therein with these Parts of the Jessamins which Botanists principally attend to in distributing Plants into Classes, Sections, Genera, &c. by this means fasminum is become the generical Word for it; and the rest of the Circumlocution is only a Catalogue of Disferences by which it is distinguished from the other Species of Plants ranked under the same Genus with it; or a sort of Description of what is thought to be most remarkable in it.

CHAP. III.

Locus Natalis.

That both Botanists and Travellers have been guilty of in determining the Countries where Cosse grows: Some have brought it from India, others from Persia, others from Egypt, and a great many from that Country of Arabia where Mecca is situated; but it is now past all Dispute, that Cosse grows no where in Arabia, but in the Kingdom or Province of Temen, in Arabia Felix; nor any where else in the World, except in the Islands of Java and Bourbon, and some other Places where it has begun of late to be cultivated by the Industry of the Dutch, French and English; of which in the proper Place.

This Kingdom of Yemen, as all the other Parts of the vast Territories of Arabia, is still too much unknown for the Reader to expect any particular Account of it; Mons. De Lisse has been at all possible Pains to give us an accurate Map of so much of it as he could get any tolerable Informations about, either from the European and Arabian Geographers, or from the French Officers who were upon the two Expeditions lately made from St. Malo's to Moca; of which Mons. La Roque has given us a very

compleat Relation; yet even that is very imperfect.

However, that I may not leave my Readers altogether unacquainted with the Country to which all the World is beholden for the Fruit which I am now describing, I have thought it pro-

per to insert here a short Abstract of a Journey from Mocca to the Court of the King of Temen, undertaken by some Persons in the second of the forementioned Expeditions; and likewise pub-

lished by Mons. La Roque.

The two Vessels of which this second Expedition consisted, arrived at Mocca on the 11th of December, 1711; and soon after, the King of Temen, of which Country Mocca is now the principal Sea-Port, fell sick. His new Minister, who at the time of the former Expedition had been Governor of that Place, extolled the Skill of the French Physicians, and advised him to send for those that he heard were lately arrived. The King consented, and charged Cheick Saleh himself, (that was the Minister's Name,) with this Affair; who thereupon immediately dispatch'd two Deputies to the French Captains, with a very obliging Letter, signifying the Subject of their Commission.

The Captains, after some Deliberation, concluded at last that this was a fit Opportunity to shew the King some Samples of the Grandeur of France, and to discover as much as they could of the Country to which they were then establishing a Trade. Hereupon the proper Persons were pitched upon; and having receiv'd their Instructions from the Commanders, and likewise some Presents for the King, they left Mocea, well mounted on horseback, the 14th of February, 1711-12. Their whole Company consisted of about twenty Persons, under the Guard of a Troupe of Horse, their Baggage and all Necessaries for their Journey being

carried by Camels, and other Beafts of Burthen.

They set out about sour a Clock in the Asternoon, and travell'd all the rest of that Day, and a good Part of the Night, and about three in the Morning they arrived at Mosa, a little Country Town ten Leagues distant from Mocca.

The next Day they travelled fifteen Leagues, to Manzary, where there being only fix or feven Houses, they passed the Night un-

der Palm and Poplar Trees.

For eighteen Leagues further the Roads were exceeding good, the Country being almost one continued Plain, at the End of which lies Tagus, a Town much renowned amongst the Inhabitants, and strongly walled, with a Castle which commands the whole Town. The Governor was Son to the late King, and the Deputies did not fail to pay him their Respects. He received them very civilly, and treated them, amongst other things, with Cossee, a la Sultane; a Drink made of the Involucra of the Fruit only, and much in Request all over that Country.

From thence they continued their Journey towards Manzuel; and about fix Leagues from Tagus they had the Pleasure of seeing Coffee Trees for the first time; and these pass for the most beautiful and best cultivated in all Temen.

Manzuel has nothing remarkable; and from thence they went in two Days to Tram, lying the first Night under the Trees.

After they left that Town, they found the highest Mountains which are in the Kingdom; and the Country, which till then was pleasant enough, tho' mostly mountainous, began to be dry and barren; no Trees were to be seen there, nor Valleys full of Cossee Plantations, as they had hitherto frequently met with.

From Iram, they went to Damar, another considerable Town at fifteen Leagues Distance. The Roads were uneasy, and the

Heat excessive, till after Sun-set.

At Damar the Scene changes again, and a very fine Country begins to open. Muab, the Residence of that King, is but a quarter of a League from thence, and the Deputies arrived there the eighth Day after they lest Mocca; having travelled above an hundred and twenty Leagues almost constantly to the North East.

Their Reception, and all that befell them during the three Weeks they remained there, I leave to be consulted in the Author, there being but little of that which has any Relation to my present

Design.

The Town of *Muab* is remarkable for nothing but the Prince's residing there. One of the Suburbs is wholly inhabited by *fews*, who are never allowed to lie within the Gates. The Air is very good. Between nine in the Morning and four in the Asternoon the Heats are great; but it is pretty cool both before the rising and after the setting of the Sun.

The Soil about the Town appear'd every where very good. All the Plains were fown with Wheat and Rice; and the little Hills and Valleys were planted with Coffee Trees, Vines and Fruit

Trees.

There was nothing remarkable in the King's Gardens, except the great Pains taken to furnish it with all the Kinds of Trees that are common in the Country; amongst which there were Coffee Trees, the finest that could be had. When the Deputies represented to the King how much that was contrary to the Custom of the Princes of Europe, who endeavour to stock their Gardens chiefly with the rarest and most uncommon Plants that can be found. The King returned them this Answer, That he valued himself as much upon his good Taste and Generosity as any Prince in Europe; the Coffee Tree, he told them, was indeed very

common in his Country, but it was not the less dear to him upen on that account; the perpetual Verdure of it pleased him extreamly; and also the Thoughts of its producing a Fruit which was no where else to be met with; and when he made a Present of that that came from his own Gardens, it was a great Satisfaction to him to be able to say that he had planted the Trees that produced it with his own Hands.

The Kingdom of *Temen* is not hereditary, but he that has had the Art of making himself the strongest Party during a King's Life, is commonly his Successor; and the King takes all possible Care to gain every Body in Favonr of his Children or nearest

Relations.

The King is independent, being tributary to no other Prince; and as a Proof of that, while the Deputies remained at *Mouab* there arrived Ambassadors to him from the Grand Seignior. It was given out that it was only an Embassy of Ceremony and Compliment; but the true Reason was to persuade the King, not to allow the *Europeans* the Liberty of exporting Cossee by the *Red Sea* directly, which was a great Loss to the *Turks*.

The King being at length perfectly recovered, the Deputies took Leave of that Court, and returned to *Mocca*; they came back the same Way they went, but not being in so great a Hurry, they had more Time to make Remarks concerning the Country.

The greatest Part of the Mountains are barren, being burnt up by the Heat of the Sun. They produce no great Trees, but upon the lower Sides of them there are Plenty of Coppices. They met with red Partridges bigger than ours, Quails and Turtle Doves in abundance, which the *Arabians* never offer to shoot. The Foxes and Monkeys were so tame, that let a Man go as close to them as he pleased, they never offered to run away.

But the greatest Curiosity of our Travellers, upon their Return, was to observe every thing that relates to the Cossee Plantations, and to inform themselves of the Arabians concerning

them.

Besides the Cossee Trees, there were to be seen in the same Plantations with them, a great many other Sorts of Fruit Trees, such as Peaches, Apricocks, Almonds, Citrons, Oranges, Figs,

Apples, &c.

They were informed, that besides the Towns which they saw, there were others of very great Note, amongst which is Sanaa, formerly the Capital of the whole Kingdom, and the Seat of their Kings. There are likewise several of the great Roads of the Kingdom paved for above an hundred Leagues together.

Thele

These are the Particulars contain'd in this Relation; which may serve to give at least some general Notion of the Country which produces Coffee. The true Extent of it is not as yet known; and much less in what Parts of it Coffee Trees grow, or how much Land is yearly employed for these Plantations. Mr. Bradley tells us, that the greatest Part of them are a few Days Journey inland from Mocca, and near the City Sanaa, about twenty Degrees of Northern Latitude. And in another Place, that the Coffee Tree is found from the Latitude of eighteen to twenty

Degrees North.

We shall hear something more determinate about this from Mr. De la Morveille, in what he has said about the Coffee Trade. But as the Coffee Plant has now, notwithstanding all the Pains the Arabians have been at to prevent it, found its Way to other Parts, and is there cultivated with good Success; an Account of the Manner in which that happened, and what have been the Consequences thereof, belongs likewise to the History of the Locus Natalis of this Plant. And here I must begin by observing, that by a late Account sent to Mr. De Justieu at Paris, from one Mr. Gaudron, an Apothecary at St. Malo's, publish'd in the History of the Royal Academy, it would seem that the Coffee Plant, or at least one Species of it, was a Native of the Island of Bourbon, near Madagascar, as well as of the Kingdom of Temen.

The Inhabitants of the Island of Bourbon, says Mr. De Fontenelle, having seen some Branches of the Cossee Tree, full of Leaves and Fruit, which a French Vessel had brought from Mocca, presently discovered that they had the same Sort of Tree growing upon their Mountains; and upon comparing them together, the Ships Company were convinced that they were really alike; only that the Bourbon Cossee Fruit is longer, smaller, and greener than that of Arabia, and when burnt has a bitterer Taste.

Whatever Way this Plant got into that Island, it is certain that the French, to whom it belongs, have lately cultivated Coffee there with good Success, and have sent several large Quantities into Europe; and it is not long since the publick News-papers gave us an Account of the Sale of one Parcel by the French East India Company at Paris, which was said to be as good as any that comes from the Levant. Tho' we should suppose this an indigenous Plant of that Island, yet it has been so lately known there, and that only by comparing it with others brought from Arabia Felix, that had not a Way been found of propagating it from

this Country, Europe might still have been without it; and the Curious might still have had Reason to complain in these elegant Words of Mr. Ray, Mirum tantum Thesaurum unius gentis, peculium esse, tamque lucrosæ stirpis Plantaria, intra unius Provinciæ Angustias coërceri. Mirum vicinas Nationes extimulante invidia aut avaritia, ea jam pridem non vel vi depopulatas esse, vel semina aut vivas radices dolo surripuisse. Mirum quem vigilem draconem Coævetis suis tuendis præsiciant indigenæ, qui omnes insidiantium technas, & conatus frustretur & eludat. But now, by the Care and Industry of the sagacious Dutch, the Ground of that Complaint has ceas'd, Delusæ jam vigilantissimi Draconis Arabici technæ, Arborque non tantum in alias Asiaticas transplantata nunc Regiones, sed & in Europam nostram transvesta, lætè nunc in Belgio & Septentrionalibus Oris, accedente indesessis culturæ curis virescit. As Volkamerus has

well expressed it.

How the Duich made themselves Masters of the Coffee Plant, has been variously related by Authors: Some are of Opinion, that they found Means to carry off a whole Tree by Stratagem; and Mr. Bradley, amongst the rest, has related a Story to this Purpose. But it is from Professor Boerhaave that we learn the whole Truth of this Matter; and likewise by what Means the Plant was brought into the Amsterdam Garden. Nicholas Witsen, Burgomaster of Amsterdam, and Director of the Dutch East-India Company, wrote several times to M. Van Hoorn, then General of Batavia, to cause Iome fresh Costee Seeds to be brought from Mocca; and to be planted and cultivated with all possible Care in the Island of Fava, of which Batavia is the Capital. Van Hoorn did as he was directed; and having in a little time rais'd a confiderable Number of Plants, he sent one of them to Amsterdam, in a Present to Witsen, who, as he had been the original Founder of the Phylick Garden there, thought that the most proper Place for it to be lodg'd in. There it foon bore Fruit, from which many new Trees have already and still continue to be raised. This Relation that learned Author assures us he had from Witsen himself; but his original Words, of which I have here given the Substance in English, deserve to be set down: Amplissimus vir Nicolaus Witsen, Amstelædamensis Consul, atque India Orientalis Societatis Prafectus, postquam sape literis monuerat Primum Societatis Indica Prafectum Van Hoorn ut Semina recentia Caffé Moscha Arabiæ Felicis urbe deferenda curaret, atque in insula Java in cujus Metropoli Batavia habitabat, terræ commissa soveret; cui ille jusso parens, arboresque inde nactus plurimas, unam misit amplissimo viro, qui statim quam liberalissime incomparabili hoc Ornamento donavit hortum Amstelædamensem, cujus olim

olim & Conditor fuerat. Ibi tulit dein fructus, ex quibus satis nowa assiduò stirpes prodeunt. Ita quidem, ut rarissima Arboris Spectaculum in Europa unius Witsen cura debeatur & liberalitati, errentque qui aliter hac de re publicè commentati sunt, ut suis ad me datis literis ipse amplissimus vir memonuit. The Authors M. Boerhaave here means, are probably the Relaters of that Story of the Dutch having stollen away a whole Plant from Arabia; which we have

already taken Notice of.

Concerning this Coffee Plantation in Fava, Mons. La Roque speaks in these Words: 'The wise and sagacious Dutch have plant'ed Coffee brought from Arabia, near Batavia, and by trans'planting, and other proper Methods, they have rais'd many
'Trees there: The Success, nevertheless, does not fully answer,
's fince they continue still to send Ships and ready Money into
'the Red Sea to purchase Coffee from the Arabians. It is pre'tended, that the too great Heat of that Climate is the Reason
'why the Fruit seldom comes to due Persection. And indeed
'Coffee Trees require a moderate Heat, much Shade, and a fresh
'free Air.' This Author acquaints us further, That the English
likewise had begun to plant Coffee at Fort St. George, in the East
Indies; but with so little Success, that he was inform'd they had
now laid aside all Thoughts of that Design.

Whatever might be the State of the Dutch Coffee Plantations in Fava, when M. La Roque wrote, they are now in a very thriving Condition; great Quantities of Coffee being every Year brought from thence into Europe. As for any Delign of planting Coffee about St. George, I never could hear that that was car-

ry'd further than meer Curiosity.

But now to return to the Amsterdam Garden, the Universal Nursery of Cossee Trees for all the Western Parts of Europe; I can neither find in what Year that Plant was brought thither, nor to what Place the first Trees were sent from thence. We may however probably conjecture, that the neighbouring Gardens of the United Provinces were first supply'd; but I find no printed Account of any sent out of Holland, before those which Christoph. Volkamerus saw in M. Munnukhausen's Garden in Germany; of which, as likewise of some Seeds sent by Commelinus, the samous Botanick Professor at Amsterdam, to his Brother Joh. Georg. Volkamerus, he has given the following Account: Mist Casé fructus cera obductos conjunctissimo fratri Dn. D. Joh. Georg. Volkamero, ex Amsterodamensi horto Excell. Comelinn. Flora illud delicium. Arboris autem Casé ferentis ramum quem hic delineavimus summa liberalitas Excellentissimi Domini L. B. a Munnickhausen Magna nunc Britan-

niæ Monarchæ Potentissi, & Electoris Brunswicensis Hannoverani (quem Deus T.O. M. servet & tueatur) Thesaurarius & consiliarius intimus, ex horto suo Swebberhano prope Hamelense Fortalitium extructo, cum regiis certe comparando, mihi quod grata mente recolo exhibuit.

This Account is dated in 1714; M. Munnickhausen must therefore have had his Plant before that time; but we are fure it was in that Year (Mr. Bradley says about the latter End of it) that the Magistrates of Amsterdam sent a large Tree bearing Fruit in a Prefent to the late French King. Monf. La Roque saw it immediately after it was plac'd in the Royal Garden at Paris; and from what he fays, we likewife learn that there were some small Plants there before. "On the 29th of July, says that Author, Mons. De Fussieu, Doctor of Physick, and Royal Professor of Botany, ' was so kind as to carry M. Galand, Arabick Professor in the ' Royal College; M. Parent, of the Academy of Sciences, 'M. Onange, a learned Chinese, and my self, to the Royal Garden, to see the young Cossee Plants that are there; but at our · Arrival we were told that the King's first Physician had sent thither the great Coffee Tree lately come from Holland, and which had been presented to His Majesty by the Magistrates of ' Amsterdam. We went therefore first to see this rare Plant; and we considered it a great while with Pleasure. It was in a Case ' in the same Glass Frame with the Torch Thistle of Perou, be-' ing about five Foot high, and not above one Inch Diameter. It shoots out divers little Branches that arise all along the Stalk, and altogether form almost a Pyramid; the Leaves are all rank'd ' in Pairs, but not so big as those I had from Arabia. M. Galand perceiv'd no Difference between this Tree and one he had seen at Constantinople. There was green Fruit upon it about the Size of a small Plumb; red Fruit almost like a Cherry, and some nearly ripe, of a much deeper Colour. ' lander who was intrusted with the Care of it, and came along with it from Marly, told us there was another still at Amster-' dam, much larger than this, being as high as the second Story of an House, and proportionably thick. This great Tree came ' originally from Arabia, being transplanted very young, ' carried to Java, where after it had grown for some time, it ' was at length fent to Amsterdam, and there continues still to ' prosper. (In all this our Author was misinform'd.) From the ' Fruit thereof a great many Trees have been rais'd; some of which have bore Fruit at three Years old; and, as the Dutchman ' told us, the Tree sent to the King was of this Number.

M. De Justieu carry'd us afterwards to see the other Plant which came some time before from Holland. It is as yet but very inconsiderable, bears no Fruit, and is but about a Foot and an half high, tho' very fresh and in good Plight. But to return to the first, in order to satisfy my Curiosity compleatly, I wanted now only to see it in Flower; and this I had the Pleasure of, by M. De Justieu's Means, in about five Weeks after. I saw some of the Flowers unblown, others perfectly open and spread, and from thence I was fully convinced of the Truth of every thing I have advanced in my Memoirs on that Subject.

In this same Year, 1714, Mr. Bradley tells us, the Dutch sent over several Trees to their Settlement at Surinam in the West Indies, in order to cultivate them in that Country, where he thinks they will undoubtedly turn to good Account; as he is persuaded they would do, if they were propagated in the South Parts of Caro-That Trial I believe has not as yet been made, but there is now a very large Stock of Coffee Trees in Barbadoes; from whence not only whole Plants, but even some Pounds of dry'd Fruit have been fent to England. And I am inform'd by Mr. Philip Miller of Chelsey, that in the Year 1720, one Capt. Young carry'd the first Plants from Surinam to that Island. If we may believe Mr. Bradley, in his late Appendix, it is owing to His former Writings that ever they thought of cultivating Coffee there. 'I am, fays he, the more particular (about making hot-beds, ' no noubt very necessary in Barbadoes) on this account, because I ' now find that my former Writings concerning Coffee, have brought that Plant to be familiar in our American Plantations, 'I mean the Island of Barbadoes, where at present there is a great Number of Plants in a fruit-bearing State, from whence some have been brought to the Royal Palace at Hampton Court, in a ' prosperous Condition; and I doubt not but the Plant, for its 'Beauty and Curiofity, will be as much coveted by all Lovers ' of Gardens, with us; especially since the same Expence, in ' point of Culture, will serve for the Education of all the choice ' Fruits of the hottest Climates.

But to return to the Progress of the Cossee Plant in Europe. Being by this time pretty common in the Northern Countries thereof, it at length found its Way over the Alps into the Physick Garden at Pisa, from whence, no doubt, it has now spread to the other curious Repositories in Italy. It is M. Tilli, Botanick Professor at Pisa, who acquaints us with this Circumstance. The Grand Duke, he says, being inform'd that the Cossee Tree was cultivated in the Amsterdam Garden, desir'd of the Director there-

of that he might have a Plant for his Physick Garden at Pifas and as they readily consented, it accordingly arriv'd safe at Legborn in 1715, in the hottest time of the Year, having sustain'd no Damage in the Voyage; and being from thence transported to Pisa, it continues there to flourish and bear Fruit to Perfection, from which many young Plants have been rais'd. Hujus rarissimæ Plantæ in Amplissimo Amstelædamensi horto Vegetantis ad Aures Regiæ Celsitudinis Magni Ducis Rumer pervenerat, & sicuti omnes ejus impetus tam in genere Scientiarum quam in genere novitatis ad laudem semper sunt propensi, ut honesta & utilia quælibet animus ejus continuè cogitet, hanc plantam quoque ex horto Amstelædamensi in Pisanam urbem transferendam cogitavit; hinc factum ut tanti Principis nomine, claritate ac benevolentia illustrissimi & nobilissimi ejus horti curatores ad nos Anno 1715, hanc Café plantam titulo & descriptione Jasmini Arabici Castaneæ foliis slore albo odoratissimo a Doctissimo Commelino facta, omni cura & diligentia miserunt. Hinc & Navis Gubernator suam adhibuit operam & sollicitudinem; opportune enim Liburnum appulit; tunc æstus erat gravissimus, slagrantissimo sidere Cœlum inçaluerat, attamen a tanta Locorum distantia, absque ullo Hybernaculo nihil de:rimenti acceperat.

When the Coffee Tree was first seen in England, I am not able politively to determine. Mr. Wise, His Majesty's chief Gardidiner, assures me, that some time before the Death of the late Queen Mary this Plant was in the Royal Garden at Hampton Court; and I have been told, that the late Bishop of London, Dr. Compton, had one at Fulham in 1696. brought directly from Batavia,

by one Capt. Adams.

In the Year 1706, Her Grace the Dutchels of Beaufort (as I

am inform'd by the Gardiner) had one at Chelsey.

In 1712 it was certainly in the Right Honourable the Lord Viscount Weymouth's Garden at Long Leate in Wiltsbire. This appears by a Catalogue of all the Stove and Greenhouse Plants and Annuals in that Garden, taken July 28, 1714, when that Nobleman died, communicated to me by my worthy Friend the Reverend and Learned Mr. Harbein; in which Catalogue I find the true Coffee Plant three Foot high sent to my Lord from Holland, in 1712.

But the first printed Account we have of any Plants sent from Amsterdam to England is by Mr. Bradley, in his first Treatise of Coffee, publish'd in 1714; that is, in the same Year in which he himself saw them in Holland. 'The Heer Gerebrand Pancrass, Commissary of the Garden, and President of the City of Amflerdam, did me the Honour to accommodate me with this great ' Curiolity,

Curiofity, which I fent into England, and intrusted to the Care of Mr. Thomas Fairchild, a most accurate Gardiner at Hoxton.

Dr. Sherrard has been so kind as to inform me, that about the Year 1719 he sent a Coffee Plant to Dr. Eudal at Ensield; and in 1723, his Brother, Mr. Sherrard, had some for his sine Garden at Eltham, likewise directly from Holland.

In 1724, Mr. Parker of Heling had two Plants sent him from

Amsterdam.

There has been likewise a good Number of Plants sent directly hither from Barbadoes. Those that came last Summer to His Majesty, were sent by the Governour of that Island, and entrusted to the Care of Dr. Gamble. His Royal Highness the Prince, and the Duke of Chandos had some by the same Ship.

CHAP. IV.

The Coffee Plant in general.

In this Chapter my Design is only to lay before the Reader a short View of the Sentiments of Authors concerning the Genus of this Plant, with respect to Theophrastus's general Division of Vegetables; and to remark the other Plants to which it has been compared. The Observations which belong to this Place, are therefore such only as could not conveniently be rank'd under any Head of the following Description, and at the same time serve to convey a general Idea of the whole Plant, the particular Parts of which I am afterwards to examine.

Every Body knows that *Theophrastus* has divided all Plants into these four Classes, Trees, Shrubs, Under-shrubs and Herbs. The Coffee Plant has been thought by different Authors to belong to every one of these; for it is by some called a Tree, by others a Shrub and Bush, by others an Herb, in express Terms; and by others, in fine, it has been compared to different Plants of all these Kinds.

Alpinus, the first Author who has mention'd the Coffee Plant, calls it a Tree, and compares it to the Euonymus, a few things excepted concerning the Figure and Substance of the Leaves. It is not easy to determine what Euonymus is here meant; did he not seem to place the chief Difference between the Coffee Plant and that, in the Leaves, I should be apt to think he had in view

the Spindle Tree, which grows commonly in Hedges in most Counties of England, for the Leaves thereof bear a very great

Resemblance to those expressed in Alpinus's Figure.

J. B. who only copies from Alpinus, what he has faid about this Plant, agrees with him likewise in calling it a Tree, and both he and his Brother C. B. compare it to the Euonymus, without any farther Explication.

Petrus de la Valle, the celebrated Italian Traveller, in a Letter dated at Constantinople, 1615, calls it also a Tree. It grows, he says, near Mecca; and of the Fruit the Turks Drink is made.

Garcias Silva Figueroa, in the Account he has given us of his own Embassy from Spain to Persia, begun in 1617, tells us that

the Persians made their Cossee of certain Herbs.

Veslingius, in his Notes on Alpinus, 1638, gives this Plant the Name of a Tree; but at the same time owns he had never seen it.

Parkinson compares it to the Prickle Timber, or Prickwood

Tree, which he takes to be the Euonymus of Alpinus.

Banefius, in the first Treatise that was ever publish'd expressly. on Cossee, 1671, is likewise the first whom I find to have called this Plant a Shrub or Bush; which are the Names he constantly gives it thro' his whole Book. And as he has likewise quoted Alpinus's Account of it, the English Translator thinks sit to add, by way of Note, that the Euonymus is by our Botanists term'd the Spindle Tree, or Prickwood; but it is believ'd (says he, without telling us by whom, or for what Reason) that it is not Alpinus's Euonymus.

Chabraus, in his Seiographia Stirpium, 1678, joins with the other Authors in calling the Coffee Plant a Tree; but it is meerly upon the Authority of Alpinus, who indeed is the only Person, during all this time, that appears to have ever seen it; for Bane-sius no where says that he did; and the rest either frankly own

they never did, or fay nothing about the Plant at all.

Mons. Bernier has something new upon this Head, but we shall see presently, from Mons. La Roque, that he has been very all inform'd. 'I cannot tell you (says he to Mons. Du Four, in a Letter publish'd with that Author's Treatise on Cossee) 'whether 'Cossee be a kind of Bean, which is sown every Year as we do ours, or the Fruit of some Shrub; I find nothing upon that 'Head in my Journals; but what I can assure you of is, that it must be a Species of Convolvulus, because I remember perfectly well to have been told that it is always planted near the 'Mouzé, to which it clings, and so supports its self.' This Mouzé

Mouzé is what the Portugueze call Adam's Fig Tree, because of the

Largeness of its Leaves.

It is no Wonder that this Account startled Dr. Robinson, and that, having nothing but Alpinus and his own Observations on the dry'd Cossee Fruit to be guided by, he was at a Loss what to make of it. 'M. Bernier, who pass d the Red Sea into Arabia, stays the Doctor to Mr. Ray, in a Letter publish'd not long ago by Mr. Derham) 'doth affirm, That the Arabs assured him that the Cossee Fruit was sown every Year, under Trees, upon which it did climb and run. From which he concludes it to be a Species of Convolvulus. I think he might as well have concluded it to be a Phaseolus, or some other scandent Legume— If M. Bernier was truly inform'd of its annual sowing and climbing, then Alpinus never saw the true Cossee Plant— I have examin'd many Cossee Berries, as they call them, here in London, and am almost persuaded by my own Observation that they are neither Berries nor the Seeds of any Convolvulus, nor of any Legume, but are rather of the Nut Kind.

Legume, but are rather of the Nut Kind.

Du Four's Treatise of Coffee was printed in 1683, and in the Beginning thereof he terms the Coffee a Legume, or kind of foreign Bean; but when he talks of the Plant it self, he is not al-

together against its being called a Tree, tho' he inclines more to rank it among the Shrubs. 'The Tree that produces the Coffee (says he) 'is like the Euonymus or Spindle Tree (Fusain in French) which hears the Seed we call Rownet de Pretre, as we are informed.

'which bears the Seed we call Bonnet de Pretre, as we are inform'd by Alpinus, who faw it in his Travels. In the Memoirs which

'I have received from the Levant, it is compared to our middling 'Sort of Cherry Trees, both in Leaves, Branches and Size, for

' at most it is but a Shrub.

Blegny compares the Trunk of the Coffee Plant to a common Bean Stalk. What led him into this Mistake was his looking on the Branch delineated by Alpinus, to be the whole Plant.

As Du Four's Book was the latest, and, as Mr. Ray owns, the best that he had heard of about Cossee, when the second Tome of his History of Plants came out, we need not be surprized to

find that he imitates him in calling it a Shrub.

In this, and in nothing else, he is followed by the Worthy and Learned Sir Hans Sloan, whose Account of this Plant, publish'd in the Philos. Transact. N° 208, is by far the most exact that had till then appear'd.

Mr. Dale, in his Pharmacologia, 1710, ventures to dissent from Mr. Ray in this Particular, and calls the Cossee Plant a Tree. This was certainly not the Effect of any Knowledge he had of the

Plant,

Plant, for in the very next Line he tells us it is Arbor pragrandis, a Tree of an extraordinary Size; and compares it to the Tilia, or Lime Tree.

Dr. Salmon agrees with Dale in calling the Coffee Plant a Tree; but instead of the prægrandis of that Author, he says it is but a

very little Tree.

Sir Thomas Pope Blownt, in his Natural History, 1693, takes Notice (from Du Four I suppose) of the Resemblance of it to the Cherry Tree, except that it is scarce so big.

Dr. Pechey, in his Compleat Herbal, 1694, calls it a little Tree; but rather than to fay he copy'd Dr. Salmon, I shall suppose he

translated the Arbusculum of Mr. Ray.

Pomet, after finding Fault with Blegny, tho' without naming him, tells us, (Histoire des Drogues, 1694,) that for his Part, he rather inclines to J. Baubinus's Opinion, that it is like the Spindle Tree. How can this Author have ever read J. B. and not see

that he copies Alpinus?

Herman acquaints us, in his Posthumous Treatise of the Materia Medica, publish'd 1710, that the Cossee Trees grow in Arabia Felix, and that they are as big as Lime Trees. As this Book was handed about in Manuscript long before it was printed, it was from thence, perhaps, that Dale got this Comparison.

The two Lemerys stick by J. B. and only refer to him for a

farther Account of this Plant.

It is hard to tell upon what Langius founded the Resemblance, when, in his Materia Medica, 1704, he compared the Cossee Plant to the Filix; or how, after such a Comparison, he should still call it a Tree.

Tournefort has no where mention'd this Plant, but in a Post-humous Treatise of the Materia Medica; and even there he has only copy'd Du Four.

Chomel, in his Plantes Usuelles, 1712, and Andry, in his Alimens du Carême, 1713, call it simply a Tree which grows in Ara-

bia Felix.

Valentini, in the Latin Edition of his Materia Medica, publish'd in 1716, tells us it is an exotick Tree as big as the Lime Tree.

C. Commelinus is the first who has been at Pains to examine this Plant with a View to discover the Family it belongs to; for neither Morison nor Tournesort in his Institutions, have so much as mention'd it; and the Way that Mr. Ray has class'd it is only by Guels. According to this Author, the Cossee Plant is a Species of Jessamin; and Volkamerus, in the Acad. Casar. Leopold. Ephem. Obs. 168. adds, that it is of the Bacciferous Kind.

Messieurs

Messieurs La Roque and De Jussieu have given us so exact and compleat a Description of this Plant, that they had no Occasion to compare it to any other, as a Mean to convey a better Idea of it; and after what they have said there can no more Difficulty remain whether it ought to be ranked among the Trees or Shrubs. La Roque adds, en passant, that when at its full Growth it is not unlike an Apple Tree. But what we have principally to remark from this Author's Account, is an Observation which lets us into the Reason of M. Bernier's Miltake, and clears up the Difficulty which Dr. Robinson was in about it. 'If our Travellers, says Monf. La Roque, ' had not made this Journey to Mouab (the 'City where the King of Temen then resided) we should perhaps ' have long remain'd ignorant of one Singularity about the Coffee Trees, of which no Body has hitherto taken Notice; and that is, that in Places very much exposed to the South, ' or which lie too open, these Plants are set under great Trees, which they say appear'd like a kind of Poplars, and they serve to shade and defend the others from the excessive Heat of the Sun. The Inhabitants are perfuaded that without this Canopy the Flowers would foon be burnt up, and no Fruit ever appear; and our Traveilers saw some Instances of this in other Trees which had not the Advantage of a Shade. They observ'd this ' in the first Coffee Trees they met with in their Journey: It was in a Plain near the City of Tagus, which is very much expos'd. 'The Poplars stood at certain Distances all over the Plantation, and each of them shaded a good Number of Cosfee Trees re-' gularly planted for that Purpose, much after the Manner that ' Apple Trees are in Normandy. In other Places which do not ' lie so open there are none of these Trees to be seen, the Cossee Plants thriving well enough there without a Shade. Thus far Monf. La Roque. And these were undoubtedly the

great Trees which Bernier had been told of; for which he could imagine no other Use than that they supported the Coffee Plants,

as others do the Convolvuli.

Protestor Boerhaave agrees in every thing with Commelinus. It was sufficient for the Design of his Index, publish'd in 1720, to name and class this Plant as he had found it done by any good Author before him.

According to Mr. Foseph Miller, in his late Botanicum Officinale, the Coffee Plant seems to be neither Tree nor Shrub, but something between both; which he expresses by calling it a shrubby Tree. He is likewise afraid to make it a Species of Fasmin, but lays only, that it is so according to Commelinus.

Mr.

Mr. Bradley is as positive on the other hand, that Commelinus was in the right; and assures us that every Day confirms him more and more that it is of that Tribe.

In this Manner have Botanical Writers talk'd concerning the Coffee Plant in general; and if we look back on all that has here been quoted from them, we shall find,

1. That of all the Authors who have faid any thing upon this Subject, the greatest Part are agreed that this Plant is truly

and properly a Tree, and ought to be reckon'd fuch.

2. That of the remaining Authors, Banesius, Mr. Ray, and Sir Hans Sloane, think it is properly a Shrub; Du Four, that it may be reckon'd either a Tree or Shrub; Miller, that it is neither the one nor the other, but a shrubby Tree; Figueroa, that it is an Herb; Langius, that it is both Herb and Tree; and Bernier is altogether undetermin'd about it.

3. The other Plants it has been compar'd to, and the Authors

of these Comparisons, are the following,

Euonymus,	Alpin.
Prickle Timber Tree,	Parkins.
Convolvulus,	Bernier.
Cherry Tree,	Du Four.
Common Bean,	Blegny.
Tilia, or Lime Tree,	Dale.
Filix, or Fearn,	Lang.
Jessamin,	Commelin.
Apple Tree,	La Roque.

CHAP. V.

The Root, Trunk and Branches of the Coffee Plant.

HO' the Coffee Tree is now to be found in many Gardens about London, it has been my Misfortune as yet never to have had a full Opportunity of examining these Parts of it which make the Subject of this Article. I shall, however, venture to communicate the sew Observations I have hitherto been able to make about them; leaving a more perfect Description of them to be supply'd by some other Hand, in case I should

never be in a Condition to do it my felf.

The Coffee Fruit being planted in a convenient Soil, the first Part of the succeeding Tree which appears above Ground, is the seminal Leaves; and as soon as they are spread, the tender Stem may be perceived to sprout out betwixt them tip'd with two other Leaves of the same Kind with those that always remain on the Plant. From between these, another Portion of the Stalk may in a little time afterwards be perceived to shoot, crown'd likewise with a new Pair of Leaves lying in a Plain which cuts the former at right Angles; and in this manner the tender Trunk advances.

How long it is before the first Branches begin to appear, I cannot certainly tell; I saw a young Plant in Mr. Sherrard's Garden, seven Inches high, bearing five Pair of Leaves, besides the seminal ones, without any Vestige of Branches. But when they do arise, their Manner of Growth is much the same with that of the Trunk. They come out in cross Pairs from the Alæ of the above-mention'd Leaves, and all of them make acute Angles with the Stem, those nearest the Top being most inclined.

Neither is the Descent of the Root, in all Appearance, much different from the Ascent of the Trunk and Branches; for in a very small Plant which I had the good Fortune to get with the Root entire, I observed it to run down for a good way pretty strait, and that afterwards it bent several Ways, very long Fibres arising from it thro' its whole Length, most of them standing the same Way as the Branches do on the Trunk, only much more numerous, and, as far as I could find, in a Position not always exactly regular. As the Plant grows up, some of the Fibres.

which lie nearest the Surface of the Earth become equal to the main Body of the Root in Thickness, and send out other small ones in the same Plenty; and in this Manner it spreads to a considerable Breadth as well as Depth, these several Digiti shooting out different Ways, and at different Angles with the Horizon. This is all that I could with Certainty discover in another pretty large Root sent me by a Friend; but which by Missortune had been

cut and mangled when dug up.

To what Height and Thickness these Plants will grow with us, cannot as yet be determin'd, there being none, as far as I know, in England which have reach'd their utmost Limits of Increase. Mr. Parker of Heling has one which last Summer was full five Feet above Ground; the Circumference, near the Root, was three Inches, and from thence it rises gently tapering, the Top being no thicker than a small Branch. At the going off of each Branch there is a considerable Nodus, especially near the Top, but the Joints below each of them are always bigger than those above them. In this Plant I counted eighteen Pair of Branches; the longest, which was in the third or fourth Row from the Ground, measuring eighteen Inches in Length, and three quarters of an Inch in Circumference.

The Cortex or Bark of this Plant is pretty thick, and may be plainly discern'd to be made up of two Parts, cased over one another; the outermost of which seems to me to fall off from the Trunk and greater Branches, which makes these appear of a lighter Ash Colour than the rest; the upper Covering of the Bark being

feveral Degrees darker than the other.

The Wood is pliant and flexible, of a much whiter Colour than any Part of the Bark, and specifically lighter than most other Trees. This becomes the more remarkable, because the Pith is but of a very moderate Size.

What has here been faid about the Cortex and Wood of this Plant, agrees equally to the Root and Trunk, at least as far as I

have hitherto been able to observe.

I shall conclude this Account with the following Observations communicated to me by that ingenious Gardiner Mr. Philip Miller, concerning the Coffee Trees which were lately sent from Barbadoes to His Royal Highness the Prince. The Height of them from the Surface of the Ground was, in September last, fifty two Inches. Each Tree hath thirty two Branches, which come out by Pairs, opposite to each other. The lowermost Branches were twenty five Inches long, and so decreasing in Length to the uppermost, which was three Inches long; and all together they form a handsome Pyramid.

The Stem of the Tree next the Surface is three Inches in

Girt, and at the Top an Inch and three quarters.

The Root spreads nineteen Inches Diameter, and is very full of small Roots. The larger Roots were of a dark brown Colour; but the Fibrillæ very white, and pretty tough; and when broken they smell very like Liquorice, but have little or no Taste. The whole Root is so ramify'd, and each Ramification so full of Fibrillæ, that it looks like a shockey Head of Hair; and it was very difficult to clear them of the Earth.

In no Author, that I have yet met with, is there so much as one Word about the Root of the Coffee Plant. Pomel indeed tells us of one that was eaten by the Rats, near Paris; but besides that this Story is in all Probability salse, we are as far to seek about the Description of this Root as ever.

Of all the Europeans who have travelled into Arabia Felix, where the young Coffee Plants are every where transplanted at certain times of the Year, it is a Wonder that none has ever had the Curiosity, either by ocular Inspection, or at least from the Accounts of the Inhabitants, to inform himself what kind of Roots they have. And it is a much greater Wonder still, that during a Course of so many Years, in which there have been Plants sent to all Places, from the Amsterdam Garden, that no Botanist who has had an Opportunity of examining the Roots, has ever publish'd a Description of them.

The Trunk and Branches, having a nearer Relation to the Fruit, and being difcoverable with much less Trouble than the Root, have not been so much neglected by Authors.

Alpinus has faid nothing in particular about either of these; but supposing the Figure he has given us of a Branch to be in any measure like the Plant it was taken from, we may infer from thence, that it decreases very sensibly in Bigness, as it removes from the Trunk, till at Top it appears to be not much thicker than the Foot Stalks of the Leaves: That it is not quite strait, but gently bent two contrary Ways in form of an Italian s; and that there are three lesser Branches arising from it, the uppermost about the Middle, and all of the same Figure with it.

From this Time, all the Way down to Monf. Dn Four, we meet with nothing but repeated Copies of Alpinus's Figure, without any Defcription; and even this Author has only told us that the Branches are small and limber; referring for all the other Particulars about them, as well as about the Trunk,

to the Figure placed at the Beginning of his Book; which is only a good Copy of that of Alpinus.

Sir Hans Sloane's Figure is likewise taken from a Branch, and differs extremely from all that ever I have seen in the Number and Disposition of the smaller Twigs that arise from it.

About the Description of it, the Author tells us that it was taken from a Tree seven or eight Foot high; that the Branch it self was five Foot long, and cover'd with a grey almost smooth Bark. The Wood is white, and the Pith not very large. The Twigs are cover'd with a darker colour'd very smooth Bark, and arise opposite to one another, coming out of opposite Sides of the Branch, or the two Pairs next to one another, cutting each other at right Angles.

The Branch from whence this Description is taken, was dried; but nevertheless, he says, it will every way agree to those of a growing Tree; Mr. Clyves, who brought it to England, having inform'd him of eve-

ry Particular of it.

Monf. De Justieu tells us, that in the Year 1715, the Coffee Tree in the Royal Garden at Paris was about five foot long, and the Trunk as thick as a Man's Thumb. The Branches arise at certain Distances, always in Pairs crossing one another: They are very limber, round, knotted and cover'd, as well as the Trunk, with a very thin white Bark. The Wood of them is pretty hard, and of a sweet Taste. The lower Branches are commonly simple, and arise more horizontally than the upper ones, in which the Trunk ends, and which are subdivided into lesser Twigs springing from the Ala of the Leaves in the same Order.

Valentini refers to the Count Marsigli for an Account of this Tree, and has only copy'd one of the Figures of that Author.

Monf. La Roque, from Informations taken in Arabia Felix, informs us that the Tree which bears Coffee is from fix to twelve Feet in Height, and from ten to fifteen

Inches

Inches in Circumference. When in Per- however, is only that of a Branch, which fection it looks not unlike an Apple Tree eight or ten Years old. In an aged Tree the lower Branches are ordinarily bent; and at the same time they spread themselves all round the Trunk, and so form a Sort of Umbrella. The Wood is very tender, and withal fo pliable, that the Extremities even of the highest Branches may be brought within a Foot or two of the Ground without breaking. The Bark is of a whitish grey Colour, and the Surface of it somewhat uneven.

Concerning two other Trees which this Author faw in the Royal Garden at Paris, he observes that one of them was only about a Foot and an half high; the other, then just arriv'd from Holland, was about five Feet in Length, and an Inch in Diameter. Little Branches arose all along the Stem, and taken all together they form'd almost the Figure of a Pyramid.

Mr. Bradley has been at Pains to examine and delineate the Coffee Trees that grew in the Amsterdam Garden. His Figure,

he tells us resembles in every Point that he took it from, except only the Size, which ought to be one third bigger to make it equal with the Life. About the Trunk or Branches of this Tree he has faid nothing, further than that it is of a very quick Growth, and naturally inclinable to shoot upwards; that in its native Country it generally attains, as is reported, the Height of forty or fifty Feet, altho' the Stem, in the thickest Part, does not exceed five Inches in Diameter. He adds, That in the Garden of Amsterdam there were two Coffee Trees about seventeen Foot high when he

As this excessive Height ascribed to the Coffee Trees by Mr. Bradley, is only upon the Testimony of other People, it ought to be of no Force against the Truth of the Memoirs furnish'd to Mons. La Roque upon that Subject; and I am even afraid Mr. Bradley did not accurately meafure those he saw at Amsterdam.

CHAP. VI.

The Leaves of the Coffee Plant.

HE Leaves of the Coffee Plant terminate both Ways in a narrow Point, and from the contract of the Plant terminate both Ways in a narrow Point, and from thence are expanded on both Sides in the Figure of a Curve Line, so as that the broadest Part of them is commonly about the Middle of their Length. Their regular Figure is to have this Curve Line similar, an equal Part of the Leaf lying on both Sides the Costa or Rib. They are not all, however, of this Shape, and the Variations from it confift either in that the same Parts of the Leaf are not equally broad on each Side of the Costa; or that the Extremities of them are laterally bent or incurvated; both these admitting of many different Degrees.

The Length of the largest Leaf which I had ever an Opportunity of measuring was nearly seven Inches; the greatest Breadth two Inches and three quarters. The whole Circumference can feldom be exactly measured in a large Leaf, because the Edges are most commonly undulated; but as near as I can guess from the Largeness of the Undulations of the Leaf I have now before

me, the Circumference of it is between seventeen and eighteen Inches.

Thro' the Middle of each Leaf lengthwise runs a strong Costa or Rib, rising above the Surface on both Sides, but most on the lower or back Side. It decreases in Thickness as it advances towards the Extremity, being thickest near the Branch to which it is fixed; and as during a small Space from thence the Leaf is extremely narrow on both Sides, that Part has been taken for a Pedunculus or Foot Stalk; and in the Leaf I have here given the Dimensions of, it is about half an Inch in Length.

From each Side of the Costa arise a great many Fibres of different Sizes, the largest being parallel to one another, and inclined obliquely towards the End of the Leaf. The others are spread irregularly thro' the Pulp of the Leaf, which is pretty hard and

folid, tho' not very thick.

The Surface and Edges of young Leaves are smooth and even, except where Risings are form'd by the Costa and large Fibres; but as they increase, the Edges become commonly pinched, the rest of the Leaf undulated or wav'd in many different Manners, bending likewise sometimes both according to the Length and according to the Breadth.

The Leaves while fresh are all pretty much of the same Colour, the upper Side being of a deep grassly green, the under Side

lighter by a good many Degrees.

Hitherto we have consider'd the Leaves by themselves; the next Step is to examine them on the Plant. From the time that the Trunk appears above Ground, till the Branches are shot out, Leaves grow upon it in the same Order as the Branches do afterwards. In a young Plant only seven Inches high, I counted sive Pair, besides the seminal ones, and the largest of them was four Inches in Length. These seminal Leaves differ from the rest in Shape, being more nearly circular, and adhering to the Stem by the Sides, rather than by one End. Some Leaves are found on the Trunk, even after the Branches are out, in all Ages of the Tree, and they grow always close by the Root of a Branch, but without being pair'd as before.

Two very tender Leaves are always found at the Top of the Trunk, join'd to a small short Foot Stalk, arising from between the Pair immediately below them. This Foot Stalk increases and becomes a new Joint or *Internodium* of the Trunk; but before it has gain'd any considerable Length or Strength, a new one tip'd with other two Leaves shoots out from its Top, betwixt the two Leaves placed there. In this manner the Tree increases in

Height; and the Growth of the Branches is perform'd in the same manner.

On these all the other Leaves are to be found. They arise from the Nodi already mention'd in an opposite Situation to one another; that is, one on each Side; but they are all in the same Plain, in which they differ from those of the Trunk; and in their natural Situation, before they come to be bent and distorted, both Edges are at an equal Distance from the Horizon. Number of Leaves on each Branch, is, I believe, pretty nearly proportionable to the Length of the Branches. On one, which measured eighteen Inches, there were sixteen Pair of Leaves, but

the Distance between each Pair is not always the same.

The Size of the Leaves on the same Branch is still more different; neither are the largest always nearest the Trunk, but indifferently on any other Part of the Branch; and it is certain that all of them do not arrive at the same Dimensions before they decay. The first Sign of that is a Change of the Colour from Green to a light Yellow towards the Top; from thence it spreads over the whole Leaf, and to that a brownish Colour succeeds, but not till that Part of the Leaf where it is found is quite dry'd and wasted. The Time when this happens, with respect to every single Leaf, is not long after it has grown to its full Extent; tho' I am apt to think that their being so closely pent up in Stoves may contribute something to the Shortness of their Duration; the Plant it self, nevertheless, is undoubtedly an Ever-green; and I believe there is very little Difference to be observed in the Number of green Leaves in any Season of the Year; but whether, or in what time young ones grow out from the same Nodus from whence the old ones fell, I have not had an Opportunity of obferving.

Alpinus has only observ'd about the Leaves of this Plant, that they are thicker, harder and greener than those of the Euonymus, and that they remain always green (perpetud virentia): This last, as we have heard, must be meant of the whole Plant, not of any fingle Leaf. In his Figure the Shape of the Leaves is very ill represented; but the Manner in which they arise from the Branches tolerably well, if we except the crossing.

Mons. Du Four observes further, That they are not very large, but pretty thick, in proportion to their Extent; that they are intire, or without Incifures; and that in

green, but however that the Leaves decay and fall off very foon, the Fruit remaining naked and expos'd upon the Tree. This Description is meant of the Coffee Leaves in Arabia Felix, none of which Mons. Du Four ever faw, and therefore the Inaccuracy of it is to be imputed not fo much to him as to those from whom he had his Informations.

Sir Hans Sloane is the first who has express'd the Manner in which these Leaves arise from the Branches; and he has likewise added several new Observations about them. ' After the same manner, says he, fland the Leaves on the Twigs, as the every respect they are very much like those of a middling Cherry Tree. He takes Inch, sometimes two Inches Distance, Notice likewise that this Plant is an Ever- each Pair of Leaves from the other. The

6 Leaves have 1 Inch Foot Stalks, being about four Inches long, and two broad in the Middle where broadest, whence they decrease to both Extremes ending in a Point. They are smooth, whole, without Incifures on their Edges, somewhat " like the Leaves of a Bay." When we consider that this Author had only the Leaves of one dry'd Branch to take this Description from, it must be own'd that he has examin'd this Part of it with great Accuracy; and as for the Comparison he makes of these Leaves to those of a Bay, it is at least as just as any that have hitherto been pitch'd upon; especially while the Leaves are small and not curled.

Commelinus, and after him Volkamerus and others, compare these Leaves to those of the Castanea or Chesnut Tree. It must be own'd, that from a transient View of what the Gardiners call the Spanish Chesnut Leaves, one would be apt to think that they refembled the large ones of our Plant very much; but upon a more strict Examination, I find them to differ extremely. The Chesnut Leaves are much narrower in proportion to their Length; the large Fibres much thicker set, arising higher on the backside of the Leaf, and much more distinctly continued to the Edges; the Edges are pretty deeply crenated, and the Interstices between the Notches end in strong sharp Prickles or Thorns; the Undulations are not near so large, and quite otherwise disposed; in fine, tho' this does not relate to the Make of the Leaf, those of the Chesnut do not arise in Pairs from the Branches, nor in the fame Plain with one another.

Tournefort has nothing new about the Coffee Leaves; 'They arise, says he, in Pairs oppos'd to one another, being of an oval Figure, but ending in a small Point.

M. Bradley tells us that the Leaves are bicomposite, or set in cross Pairs at the Joints, and not unlike those of the common Bay, but curled at the Edges, and inclinable to hang down. And in the second Edition he inclines rather with his learned Friend Mr. Pettiver, to think the Leaves like those

of the Laurus Vulgaris, than to compare it to those of our common Chesnut; but in all the Editions of his new Improvements, even those publish'd since his last Treatise of Cossee, he inclines to the common Chesnut again.

I need not take notice of the Defectiveness of what Mr. Bradley has told us about this Part of the Plant; but I cannot help remarking his Mistake about the Situation of the Leaves upon the Branches: They are never set in cross Pairs, but lie all in the same Plain; and on the Trunk, except before the Branches sprout out, they are seldom in Pairs at all. So that with respect to neither of these will Mr. Bradley's Account of the Situation of the Leaves be found to hold.

Mons. De Jussieu's Description is in these Words: Both Sorts of Branches are ' always cover'd with Leaves, intire and ' without Incifures in their Circumference, 6 small and pointed at the two Ends, opopos'd by Pairs, but without croffing one another as the Branches do, and arifing from the Nodi of these. They resemble ' very much the Leaves of the common Bay, but are not fo dry nor thick, tho' · larger and more pointed; their Extremities inclining sometimes a little to one Side. The upper Surface of them is of a shining Green, the lower Side of a pale Green; and they are all yellowish at first. They are wav'd or curl'd at the Borders, which perhaps is owing to the Culture; and there is nothing aromatick nor uncommon in their Taste. biggest of them is about three Inches in Breadth, and four or five in Length, with short Foot Stalks join'd to them. Mons. La Roque observes, 'that these Leaves are very like those of the Limon Tree, (Citronier) but not fo much pointed; thinner, and of a darker green Coc lour. That the Branches are at no time altogether strip'd of Leaves; that they arise most commonly in Pairs from the two opposite Sides of the Branches, and all in the same Plain, at small Distances from one another.

CHAP. VII.

The Flower of the Coffee Plant.

HE Flower arises from the very Middle of the Alæ foliorum, or Juncture of the Leaves and Branches, by a small
green Pedunculus, or Foot Stalk, which tho' not above the eighth
Part of an Inch in Length, may nevertheless be plainly perceiv'd
not to run in the same Plain with the Branches, but to be a little inclin'd upwards; and for that Reason the rest of the Flower
appears to be situated not so much between the Leaf and Branch
as above them both.

Round the Edges of the upper Extremity of the *Pedunculus*, arifes the *Calix* or Cup of the Flower, and is presently after divided into four or five small Segments; two of which, commonly larger than the rest, we may observe to be of the same Texture and Shape with the Leaves of the Plant, and to run up a little way upon the tubulous Part of the *Petalum*, at a little Distance from it; for this Reason, and also because the Foot Stalk being so very short, it is not always easy to distinguish whether these Segments arise from it, or immediately from the *Ala*; they might equally be supposed to be the Beginnings of new Leaves springing out with the Flower, only that they decay soon after it, leaving the Fruit naked. This evidently proves that they are all of the Nature of a *Calix*, the Use of which is to serve first for a *Perianthium*, before the Flower is quite blown, and afterwards to defend the tender *Ovarium*.

OVARIUM.

This Ovarium, or Seed Vessel, is fix'd to the upper Extremity of the Pedunculus within the Calix; and consider'd in this State, that is, as making a Part of the Flower, it is only a small green Globule, in which nothing farther can with Certainty be distinguish'd, till after the Decay of the Flower. Then it begins to swell, and by degrees advances to a perfect Maturity, as we shall see in describing the Cossee Fruit.

PETALUM.

From the Top of the Seed Vessel springs the Petalum of this Flower, by a tubulous Beginning, but it is afterwards parted most commonly into five Segments, sometimes into four or three only. The lower or tubulated Part is exactly in the Shape of the Neck of a common Funnel, being narrower at Bottom than at Top; and the Length of it is between a quarter and three eighth Parts of an Inch. The Segments do not run up from it in a strait Line, but go off almost at right Angles, and so form rather a Discus, than the upper Part of an Infundibulum, in the Sense assigned to these Terms by Tournefort. They are all pretty much of an equal Length in the same Flower, being commonly about half an Inch. Neither do they differ any other ways in Figure, fave only that some of them continue of an equal Breadth thro' their whole Length, others begin, a little way from their Extremity, to contract by degrees. The Colour of both Parts and both Sides of the Petalum is the same, a very pure white; which however I have sometimes observ'd to be brighter in the Segments than in the Tubulus.

STAMINA.

The Stamina arise from the Inside of the lower Part of the Petalum, always equal in Number to the Segments thereof, of a white Colour, and a very small Size. Before they reach as high as the Origin of the Segments, they cease to adhere to the Tubulus, and run up a little way above it, being, as far as they are distinctly visible, never above three eighth Parts of an Inch in Length.

APICES.

To each of the Stamina is join'd an Apex or Pendant, something longer than they are, and of a very different Figure. I know nothing they can be so justly compar'd to as the Claws of some small Birds; for they are crooked or bent sulcated on the concave Side, and bigger at Bottom than at Top. In this Sulcus the Stamina are fix'd, a little above the lower Extremity; so that these and the Apices have an oblique Situation, with respect to one another. All the convex Side of the Apices is of a whitish yellow Colour, the concave Side of a dark brown.

STYLUS.

The Stylus is a long strait small white Tube, springing from the Middle of the upper Side of the Ovarium within the Petalum, thro' the hollow Part of which it ascends in the very Center of the Stamina and Apices. In this manner it runs up for near an Inch, and then the upper Extremity of it divides, and the two Portions of about a quarter of an Inch or sometimes more in Length, either both fall back in Form of a circular Arch or Hook, in an opposite Situation to one another, or one of them only; the other remaining strait, and then the whole appears not unlike a Water-man's Boat-hook.

Such is the Structure of a perfect Coffee Flower. The Progress of it comes next to be examin'd, from the time of its first Appearance, wholly inclos'd in the Calix or Perianthium, till it totally wasts and decays. It is hardly ever distinctly visible till having broke thro' that Case, it shews it self in the Shape of a white round Blossom, the whole Flower being then wrapt up in that Manner. As it begins to unfold, the first thing we begin to discover further, is the forked Extremity of the Stylus, and the Explication of the whole Flower follows soon after, there being seldom above twenty four Hours between that and the Appearance of the white Blossom: The Decay of it comes on as fast; its Prime rarely out-lasting the Space of one Day. It continues for some time longer in a fading State, the Petalum turning insensibly brown; and afterwards this and all the other Parts of the Flower soon vanish and die.

We shall hear from Mons. La Roque what are the flowering Seasons of this Plant in Arabia Felix. I have never seen it flower here in England at any other time than between the End of June and Middle of August; tho' I doubt not but that some may appear after that Month is past.

Mr. Ray very justly complains that no Author had given any Account of the Flower of this Plant, at the time when he wrote; and Langus, even after the Plant it self had found its way to Europe, repeats the same Complaint, and had equal Reason for it; for till after his Lectiones Materia Medica was publish'd, I do not find so much as one Observation about this Flower, except one mention'd by Strussus, from an Arabian Physician, namely, that it is white.

The first Descriptions we have of it were

taken from the Plants in the Amsterdam Garden; and all Authors who have mention'd it agree that it is very much akin to the Flower of the Jessamin. From hence Commelinus deriv'd its Name; and he has likewise taken Notice of the Colour and Smell of the Petala.

That this Flower resembles that of the Jessamin, as much or more than any other, may be very true; but still there is a vast Disparity between them. In the Jessamin Flower the *Pedunculus* is twenty times longer, the united Part of the *Calix* much

larger, and the Segments only small Filaments or Lacinia; the Seed Vessel is quite of a different Structure; the tubulated saming Part of the Flower bears a much greater Proportion to the Segments in Length, and the Colour of it is rather green than white; the Segments are differently shap'd, and the Number of them much more various and uncertain. In fine, the Stamina, Appices and Stylus have almost nothing in common in the two Flowers, save only that they are design'd for the same Ends.

Volkamerus had an Opportunity of examining this Flower in Germany, and he has observed about it, That it is of the perfect Kind; (in Opposition, I suppose, to those which Botanists term stamineous Flowers, called by M. Vaillant incomplete, or imperfect;) that it is extremely beautiful, and of a strong and agreeable Smell; that it is of the monopetalous Kind, arising by a long Tube, and afterwards parted into five Segments; of a white Colour, and very large. Upon all which Accounts, and others likewise taken from the Fruit, he concludes that Commelinus was very much in the right to make it a Species of Jessamin.

of Jessamin.
These Observations relate only to the Peta'um; but Mr. Bradley, who knew very well that the other Parts of which Flowers confist are in a philosophical Sense the most essential Parts of them, has not fuffer'd these to pass unregarded. 'The ' Flowers, says he, put forth in Clusters ' at the Joints, towards the Extremities of the Branches, and are in Figure, Size and 6 Colour, the same with those of the com-' mon Jessamin, with the Addition only of five yellow Apices, which hang loosely on the Top of the Flower, and a Style which projects near half an Inch above it. The Smell of the Flower, he adds, is faint, and not worth our Notice.

In his other Writings, he still insists upon the Likeness of this Flower to the Jesfamin; and in the latest of them he tells us, 'that every Day confirms him more and 'more that the Coffee Plant is of this Tribe.

About the Time of flowering he has likewise observ'd, that in the Amsterdam Garden it begins in July, and lasts till October.

Mons. De Jussieu describes the Flowers in this Manner: ' From the Ala of most of the Leaves arise the Flowers, sometimes five in Number, with short Foot-Stalks. They are all white, of one Piece, and of equal Bigness, very much like to those of the Spanish Jessamin, only that the Tube is shorter, and the Segments onot so broad; the Stamina five in Number, whereas our Jessamins have but two. These Stamina rise above the tubulous Part of the Flower, and furround a forked 6 Stylus situated upon the Embrio of the Fruit or Pistillum, which lies within the Calix. The Calix is green, divided into four pointed Segments, the opposite Pairs of which are unequal in Size. These · Flowers have a very pleasant Smell, but e are of very short Duration.

La Roque, very much to the same Purpose, acquaints us that 'the Flowers are 'white, very like the Jessamin, consisting of five little short Leaves (feuilles) their Smell is very agreeable, with something balsamick in it; but the Taste is bitter. They arise from the Place where the Foot-Stalks of the Leaves are joined to the Branches.

In almost all Seasons of the Year, continues this Author, there are Trees to be seen in Flower in Arabia; but in that Plant which he saw at Paris, some of the Flowers were in blossom only, others perfectly blown in the beginning of Sep- tember.

CHAP. VIII.

The Coffee Fruit.

O the Flowers of the Coffee Plant, succeeds the Fruit; which, as it is the only Part of the Plant that is used, will require to be treated at more Length than the rest. In order to do this with the greater Clearnels, I have thought it convenient to throw all that I have to fay upon this Subject into three distinct Articles; in the first of which I shall examine the whole outward Appearance and Coverings of the Fruit; in the second, the Nuclei or Kernels which these Coverings inclose; and in the third, the seminal Plant, or true Seed: And under each of these Heads I shall subjoin such a Part of the historical Remarks about the whole Fruit, as belongs to what is there treated. I should willingly have added a fourth Article, no less curious and entertaining than the rest, concerning the Progress of the Fruit from the Time that the Flower decays, and the Vasculum seminale begins to swell, till it arrives at a State of perfect Maturity, in · which I here consider it; but in order to do that with all the Accuracy that is necessary, I must have destroy'd a great many . more fresh Berries than I could possibly obtain in this Place. I have been obliged therefore to content my felf with remarking the Changes that may be perceiv'd while the Fruit remains upon the Tree; and as these only regard the Size and Colour of the Pericarpium or Coverings of the fresh Fruit, I have set them down in the Article which is destin'd for these.

ART. I.

The Coverings of the Coffee Fruit.

In a fresh Berry these Coverings may in a proper Sense be term'd the Pericarpium of the Fruit; and therefore all that relates to the outward Appearance thereof can only be taken from this. The Situation of the Fruit upon the Trees, is the same with that of the Flower, to which it succeeds; only the Foot-Stalk shoots out by degrees to a greater Length, being, when the Fruit is ripe, above a quarter of an Inch long, its green Colour still continuing.

The Colour of the Fruit it self is at first green, which as it advances in Age and Size gradually changes, first to a red, and then passing from one degree of that Colour to another, becomes very dark by the time that the Fruit is ripe. In all States it is of an oval or spheroidical Figure, not unlike the Cornelian Cherry; and I never found the longest Diameter of it to measure much above half an Inch; nor the greatest Circumference above an Inch and an half. The transverse Diameter in these same Berries was about seven sixteenth Parts of an Inch; and the Circumference that way an Inch and three eighth Parts. The Weight of the whole about eight Grains.

The Pulp and Inside of the Pericarpium is of a light red Colour, but this last is variegated with many Streaks of white; even when the Fruit is come to Maturity; this Covering is not very thick, and there appear no Signs then of its being lined with any inner Membrane; the Septum by which the two Kernels are parted, is still mucilaginous; and both of them are cover'd with a thick Substance, of the same Kind out of which the first of the proper Coats is probably form'd by drying; the second being

visible already.

These few Remarks concerning the Size, Appearance and Substance of the Pericarpium, are all which it is necessary to make • about the fresh Fruit, as distinguish'd from the dry'd Berries. shall see in another Place in what manner this is perform'd; and as the chief Design of it is that the Husks and Kernels may afterwards be eafily separated; it is not often that we meet with many dry'd Berries intire in these Parts. I have however been at Pains to pick out a confiderable Quantity from Bales of raw Coffee at the Drugsters, and some of them I found to contain two perfect Kernels in one common Husk, others one only; I say perfect Kernels, because even in those that are single, there are most commonly, if not always, some Remains of the abortive Kernel lying like a Clypeus or Target upon the other, as shall be explain'd more particularly afterwards. I have always observ'd, that the Number of fuch entire single Berries in each Bale exceeded the double ones; at a Medium of all the Tryals I made, the Proportion of them was nearly as seven to one. The Reason why we have any entire Berries at all imported can be no other than that they are smaller than the rest, and so escape the Roller which the Arabians make Use of to take off the Husks; and as there are fewer double Berries of that small Size than single ones, a greater Number of these must remain with the Husks on.

These two Sorts of Berries differ from one another in Figure; neither are all of each Kind entirely alike. The single ones are mostly of an oblong oval Figure, except where the Prominence form'd by the abortive Kernel makes a small Variation; the others, especially the smaller Sort, are more nearly round, with a sensible Depressure on both Sides, running from the Foot Stalk to the other End; and by these Marks it may be easily known whether a Berry be single or double, without taking off the Husk.

The Length of the single Berries I have always found between half an Inch and a quarter; the transverse Circumference from an Inch to five eighth Parts; and the Weight from five Grains to one. The double ones measur'd from three eighth Parts to a quarter of an Inch in Length; from an Inch and one eighth Part to something less than an Inch in Circumference; and weigh'd from four Grains to seven eighth Parts of one Grain; and by these Dimensions it appears that the double Berries may as easily miss the Roller as the single ones.

From this general View of the intire dry'd Berries, I go on to the Coverings in particular. These are always three in Number; one common to both Kernels, and two proper to each of them. The common and outermost Covering is only the Pericarpium dry'd; and in some Berries it is very much shrivell'd, wrinkly, uneven, and as it were furrow'd, being of a blackish or dark brown Colour. In others, especially the double Berries, it

is smoother, and of a lighter shining brown.

The upper Extremity of this Covering, or that which is opposite to the Foot Stalk, terminates in an *Umbilicus*, as it is call'd by Mons. De Jussieu, which looks as if a circular Impression had been made upon it, with a pretty deep Hole in the Center thereof; this Circle is nothing but the Vestige of the tubulous Part of the Flower still remaining, as the Hole is of the Stylus; for upon that Part of the Ovarium they both stood.

Upon boiling, or long steeping in Water, this Coat becomes so soft that it may easily be scrap'd off; but if macerated only a little it grows thick, and may be taken off without being destroy'd, if cut in two equal Parts; and by so doing I have observed, that in many Berries it is considerably thicker near the *Umbilicus*, than

in any other Part.

This Involucrum is always multicapsular, being divided most commonly into two Cells or Loculamenta, as Botanists express it; and sometimes, tho' very rarely, into three. The Septum or Partition by which these Cells are form'd, may here be very distinctly perceiv'd to be a thin fine Membrane, of a different Substance

M from

from the outer Coat, and dividing the Cavity of it into two equal Parts, in each of which is lodged one Kernel, involved in its two proper Coverings. Thro' the Middle of it, lengthways, runs a Branch or Fasciculus of ligneous Fibres, continued probably from the Foot Stalk, and serving to convey Nourishment to the tender Fætus. In examining the outer Coverings of some Berries, I have been often inclined to believe that the whole Cavity of them was lined with an inner Membrane, really distinct from the pulpy Part of the Coat; and perhaps this Septum may be only a Production or Elongation thereof, continued on both Sides to the Fasciculus of Fibres already mention'd: But whatever Way it be form'd, as it adheres inseparably to these Fibres it has all the Properties of a true Partition, and therefore the Seed Vessel it self is certainly multicapfular. In those I have for Distinction's sake call'd fingle Berries, this Septum is still to be seen between the abortive Kernel and the other; but then it no longer occupies the Middle of the Cavity, but is thrust out of its Place, and by that means very much impair'd.

The second Covering, or first of the proper Coats, may be truly reckon'd a Cortex or Shell, being very strong and hard, but withal very brittle; and, if I mistake not, the Consistence of it must be in a great measure owing to the drying of the Berries, for in all the fresh Berries I ever had an Opportunity of examining, it was soft and mucilaginous. I am surprized that they who contend that the Cossee Fruit is of the Nut Kind, as distinguish'd from a Berry, have not made use of this Coat to prove it. The Difficulty it self is indeed a meet trisling about Words; the Signification of these Terms Nut and Berry, being, as far as I

can find, hitherto unsettled among Botanists.

Since this is a proper Coat, it must either be continued over the Sulcus or Rima in each Kernel, or terminate at both Sides, somewhere on the Edges of it. Which of these is true in fact, I cannot with Certainty determine; I am apt to imagine the last; and that therefore by means of this Sulcus, the Fibres in the Septum always placed opposite to it, may have some Communication with the Kernel it self, or at least with the inner Covering of it.

The Colour of this fecond or cortical Coat, is mostly that of a Limon, only a little more inclined to red, and the Figure of it always the same with the Kernel it incloses.

The third or innermost Covering, which because of its Colour may be call'd the Silver Coat, is made up of a very fine thin Membrane, surrounding not only the outside of the Kernel, but

also the Process which lies in the Cavity of it, as shall be presently shewn. The two Sides of it enter the Sulcus of the Kernel, and there jointly form a double Lamina, which is from thence continued quite over the Process; and to that, as well as to the rest of the Kernel, this Coat adheres very close. I have never been able to distinguish either of these Coats in an abortive Kernel.

The most ancient Author by whom I find the Coffee Fruit mention'd, is Rauwolfins, who was in the Levant in 1573, and he has observ'd, that both in Bigness, Shape and Colour, it is like the Bay Berry. It is the dry'd Fruit Ramvolfius here talks of, and the Comparison he makes of these to the Bay Berries, is not much amiss, only these last are commonly larger than the entire Coffee Berries we meet with in these Parts. He adds, that the Fruit is furrounded by two thin Shells, which contain two Grains in two distinct Cells. These two Shells are probably the common and first of the outer Coats; which are all that have been taken Notice of by any Writer fince his Time. What he has faid about the two Cells is very indistinct, and yet it is more than has been faid by any Body fince.

Next to Rauwolfius, is Prosper Alpinus, who was in Egypt in 1580; what he has said about the Cossee Fruit is but very little, neither is it certain whether he means the entire Berry, or the Kernel only.

Clusius has observed upon this Subject, that the Coffee Fruit is small, yet something bigger and more oblong than that of the Fagara, with a kind of Sulcus running lengthwise on both Sides of it, being cover'd with a thin Cortex of a dark ash Colour.

Gerrard has done nothing but copy Clufins's Figures, which he has placed by Miftake among the Indian Fruits; and Johnfon, in his Editions, has added Clusius's Text to his Figures.

J. B. tells us that this Fruit is hardly bigger than the Seeds of the Ricinus, of the Shape of an Olive, with a Sulcus or Lacuna, sometimes on one Side, sometimes on both. By this last can only be understood, that the Depressure is not always alike perceivable on both Sides of the Fruit. This Author has likewise taken notice that the Fruit consists of two Shells, whereof the outermost is thick and black; the other thin, and red on that Side which lies next the Kernel, on the upper Side of an ash Colour.

Petrus de la Valle says only, that the Grains of which Coffee is made are of an oval Figure, and about the Bigness of a

fmall Olive. And Olearius compares the Size of it to that of a fmall Bcan.

Veslingius informs us of the Difference he had observ'd in Egypt between the Taste of the Coverings and that of the Kernel. The first, he says, is in some Degree acid, the other very sensibly bitter. This Distinction we need not be very sollicitous about, for in however great Request the Shells may be in Arabia Felix, and the Countries which lie near it, on account of the Liquor there made of them, call'd by way of Excellency, Casé a la Sultane; yet but a small Quantity of them ever comes into Europe, and before they get hither they have pretty much lost their Taste, and every other sensible Quality that is worth minding about them.

According to Parkinson, the Coffee Fruit is somewhat bigger than a hazel Nut, and longer, round also and pointed at one End, furrow'd also on both Sides, yet on one Side more conspicuously than on the other. He tells us likewise, that the outer Coat is a thin Shell of a darkish ash Colour, and the other he calls a yellowish Skin. All this shews plainly enough that Parkinson had seen the Coffee Fruit, but withal, that he had been at very little Pains to examine it.

Banesius affures us, that the intire Fruit is something like the Cacao, but cleft along the Middle like a date Stone, and cover'd by a Shell or Husk.

Dr. Grew has faid nothing about the Coffee Fruit in particular; but fince, by what we shall see afterwards, he appears to have examin'd it very exactly; and has often declar'd, that in the far greatest Part of Seeds there are three Involucra, it is reasonable to suppose that all those I have describ'd were known to him.

Dr. Robinson, in his Letter to Mr. Ray, informs us that the intire Fruit is round on one Side, and flat on the other; but what we have principally to remark from this otherwise judicious Botanist, is the Manner in which he endeavours to prove that this Fruit is of the Nut Kind, in which I think he has come very far short of his usual Accuracy: The intire Fruit, says he, is cover'd with two Skins; the exterior Skin,

or rather Shell (being as thick almost as that of a *Pistachio*) is of a dark Colour; the second, or interior Membrane, that covers the Kernels is much finer, and of a yellowish white Colour. Under this second Skin lie generally two Kernels,

fometimes one.

What Part of this Nut ought to be reckon'd the Kernel is eafily determin'd: As for the Shell, I have already taken Notice, that the first of the proper Coats seems best to answer that: Dr. Robinson has chosen the common or outer Coat, and he is in the right to fay it is almost as thick as that of the Pistachoe; but then, if I am not mistaken, it is not by virtue of that outer Coat that the Pistachoe is call'd a Nut, but on account of a hard Shell that lies under it, to which the outer Coat of the Coffee Fruit has no Resemblance, neither in Substance nor Situation. By what he says further, that under this second Skin lie generally two Kernels, it would feem that he look'd upon it not as a proper but as a common Coat, as much as the other; but how this can be, I do not so well understand, fince not only each Kernel in particular is quite furrounded by this Coat; but being thus involv'd, is intirely separated from the other by means of the Septum. In fine, by the Date of this Letter it appears that it was written the Year before the fecond Volume of Mr. Ray's History of Plants was publish'd; and therefore it may seem strange, that after all the Pains this Author had been at, he should not have been able to persuade his Correspondent to rank his Coffee Frutex not among the bacciferous Plants, as he has done, but among the nuciferous. The Difference however lay, probably, in the Use of a Word only, Mr. Ray's Arbores nucifera fructu per maturitatem sicco, being, as he himself informs us, in every thing, except in Size, the same with the Bascifera of that kind.

What I have hitherto remark'd concerning the Resemblance of the Cosses Fruit to a Nut, must be understood of the dry Berries only; for in the fresh Fruit all the Coverings are so perfectly soft and pulpy, that they can in no Sense, neither singly nor together, be said to form a Shell. And whether a Fruit, which while it hangs upon the Tree is certainly not a Nut, can afterwards, by drying, be changed into one, I leave to those who are better vers'd than I in such Distinctions, to determine.

There is nothing but Repetitions to be met with in the other Authors, before the Year 1694, in which Sir Hans Sloane informs us that the Fruit comes out ex alis foliorum, hanging or sticking to the Twigs

by Inch-long Strings or Foot-Stalks; and fometimes one, two or more at the same Place.

Both Lemerys observe that this is a small longish Fruit, round like a Pignon (which I suppose to be the Seed of the Ricinus Americanus) and that the Cortex is a pretty

hard ligneous Husk.

In Tournefort's posthumous Treatise of the Materia Medica, we are told that the Seeds are inclosed in Husks; for the most part consisting but of one Cell, sometimes of two. By this I suppose the Author means no more, than that for the most part each Husk contains but one Seed. This is true with respect to the intire Coffee Fruit that is imported into Europe: but with respect to all the Coffee Fruit produced in Arabia Felix, just the contrary is to be said.

Volkamerus, who had feen the Coffee Plant in a bearing State, tells us that the Fruit confifts of two Kernels lying upon one another, included in a juicy Pericarpium; and from thence he concludes that the Plant it felf ought to be ranked among the bacciferous Kind.

Mr. Bradley, in his first Treatise, obferves, that 'about October these Trees have done blowing, and then they are commonly well set with green Fruit, which hang on them till the July following before they are ripe; they resemble at that time the Berries of the Lauro Cerasus, or Bay Cherry, and are much of the same Shape and Colour, (i.e. of a dark red) but instead of a single Stone, these have two Kernels which split in the Middle, like the Bay Berries of the Shops. He has said nothing further about the Coffee Fruit in any of his later Works.

What belongs to this Article, from M. De Fessien is, That ' the Embrio or young · Fruit grows nearly to the Bigness of a ' Heart-Cherry, and is pretty much of the fame Figure with it; but that when it is perfectly ripe and dry, it is reduced to the Size of a Laurel Berry. The Fruit ends in an Umbilicus, being at first of a light green Colour, then reddish; afterwards of a very beautiful red, and when perfectly ripe, of a dark red. The Pulp is glairous, or mucilaginous, of an unpleasant Taste, and when dried becomes · like that of a black Prune. Under this ' Pulp lie two thin oval Coats, closely adhering together, convex on one Side, and flat on the other, by which they touch; and of a yellowish white Colour.

Monf. La Roque, much to the same Purpose, acquaints us, that 'to every Flower' succeeds a small Fruit, but which by de-

grees

- ry, in which State it is very good to makes the first or outer Cortex of the eat. It adheres to the Tree by a small . Coffee Bean, and within it lies another fhort Foot-Stalk, and when perfectly ripe is not much bigger than a Laurel Berry. It comes out between the Leaves
- grees grows to the Size of a large Cher- 'Husk of a dark brown Colour, which ' thin Membrane, which makes the second or inner Cortex.
- M. Miller has only told us, ' that in the e and Branches. At first it is green, but e Coffee Plant the Flowers are succeeded by grows red as it ripens; and the Sun have Berries, and that each Berry includes two sing dry'd this red Pulp, it becomes a Seeds in an inner thin Skin.

ART. II.

The Kernels of the Coffee Fruit.

A LL the Coverings describ'd in the last Article being remov'd, the Kernel it self comes next to be examin'd; the Colour of which, to begin by that, varies according to the Freshness, Goodness, and Place of Growth of the Berry; some of them have a Cast of green, some are whitish, some dark or brown, and if

damaged by falt Water, they are perfectly black.

The Figure of the Kernels varies likewife; but that is principally determin'd by the Number of them in the same Berry. The fingle ones, filling up the whole Cavity, have Liberty to extend themselves on all Sides, and consequently the Figure of them is that of a longish Oval, with a Cleft on one Side, upon which lies the abortive Kernel, as has been already faid, in Form of a Clypeus or Target, very thin, and of a circular Figure, a little depress'd on one Side, to accommodate it self to the other. double Kernels, for the same Reason, are nearly oblong Hemispheroids, being convex on the back Side, and flat on that by which they join one another; and in most of them it may be remark'd that they are a small matter bigger at one End than at Thro' the Middle of the flat Side of each, runs a Sulcus or Rima lengthwise, generally narrower than that of the fingle Berries. The Figure of the triple Kernels is likewise to be determin'd by their Situation in the Seed Vessel; but of such I believe very few are to be found.

The far greatest Part of the Coffee that is imported into Europe, consists of such Kernels as have been double in the same Fruit, and the Dimensions and Weight of all the Kinds thereof may be guess'd at by those taken at a Medium from the Kernels that are brought us by the Way of Turkey, and those that come from fava in the East Indies. The greatest Length of the first Sort is three eighth Parts of an Inch, Breadth one eighth Part, and Weight three Grains. Of the Java Coffee I found the

Length to be half an Inch, Breadth five sixteenth Parts, and

Weight five Grains and an half.

The principal Body of each Kernel consists of an hard, callous, cartilage-like uniform Substance, made up of two Lamina, first laid one upon another, and then rolled and folded up into the Figure we have just now describ'd. Whoever views a transverse Section of a Kernel, the first Idea of its Structure that presents it self to him will be undoubtedly that of a Body rowl'd up, as I have faid; but I think a more easy Way to conceive that fully, will be first to imagine two oblong hollow Hemispheroids cased closely over one another, and cover'd with a Lid slit thro' the Middle lengthwise; and then that this Cavity is fill'd up by another Body, adhering to or proceeding from the whole under Part of one Side of the Lid, but loofe from the other, under which the Edge of it is turn'd up, so as to form a new kind of Sulcus, continuous with the former, tho' not always in the same right Line. This inner Body I know no better Way to express, than by calling it a Process arising from one Side of the Slit or Sulcus so often mention'd. The Structure of a single Kernel is to be conceiv'd much after the same manner, only here the Slit is generally wider, the two Sides of it being not flat, but convex; and so appear rather to be a Continuation of the same Figure with that of the Backside of the Kernel, than as a Lid laid over a Ca-By this means likewise, the Figure of the Cavity varies, and that of the Process along with it. In every thing else the Structure of both Kinds of Kernels is the same: And I have only these two Things further to observe about them.

First, that the two Lamina of which they are compos'd, are not every where of the same Thickness; from whence it follows, that one Side of the Cavity is sometimes shallower than the other. In the next Place, the Process does not always come out from the same Side of the Sulcus; or, which is the same thing, the Kernel is not always rowl'd up one Way. By which I mean, that the Situation of all the Parts of the Kernel being once determin'd by that of the seminal Plant, (of which in the next Article,) the Process will be found to adhere sometimes to the right

Side of the Sulcus, and sometimes to the left.

Rauwolfius has taken Notice only of the Colour of the Kernels, and that, he fays, is yellowish.

From Alpinus we learn, that the Kernels he found in Egypt were of a sweet Taste, mix'd with a little Bitterness, but no Sharpness. Whether he was altogether in the right in this, I leave to every Body's Experience to resolve them.

Clusius tells us that they are of a darkish yellow Colour, acid Taste, and flat on one Side.

J.B. that 'the Coverings being remov'd, there appears a hard Kernel, much of the Shape of a date Stone, with an hollow run-

oning thro' it lengthwise; of a pale ash Colour, and a bitter unpleasant Taste; and

that all the way from the Umbilicus to the opposite Point, it appears as if it were di-· vided into two Grains (ab umbilico ad oppositum mucronem gemina ostentat grana.) From the whole of what we have quoted from this Author, both here and in the last Article, it appears plainly that his Description was taken from a Fruit with only one Kernel; and therefore it is not easy to guess the Meaning of the last Words of it. Considering the Place where they lie, I should be inclin'd to think they were added by the Editors, for they are no ways of a Piece with the rest; but if they do really belong to Bauhinus's Text, I can make no more of them than this, That when a fingle Kernel is view'd on that Side on which the Sulcus lies, it appears as if it were divided into two Grains.

But whatever be the true Meaning of them, I can find nothing in all this Description that contradicts what we have heard from Clusius; and therefore I cannot imagine the Reason why J. B. should add, that tho' the outward Appearance of this Fruit answer'd in every thing to the Figures given us by Clusius, yet there were other things in which they did not agree; and that therefore he durst not say that his was the same with that from whence Clusius's Figures were taken. It may be his Scruple was grounded on this, that Clusius's Berries were double, and his own single.

By Olearius the Colour of the Coffee Kernel is compar'd to that of common Wheat, and the Taste to that of Turkey Wheat.

We have heard already, that according to Veslingius the Taste of them is very sensibly bitter.

Parkinson informs us, that on each Side of the Husk of the Coffee Fruit lieth a small long white Kernel, slat on that Side they join together, of an acid Taste, and somewhat bitter withal.

Banesius distinguishes the Coffee Kernels into two Sorts, with respect to their Colour; one he says is whitish, the other of a darkish Citron Colour, tending towards a green; and these last are to be preferr'd to the first. All this is true enough in sact, but it seems to be owing to our Author's not having understood Avicenna, that ever he was so lucky as to observe it. Avicenna has told us the same thing of a Root which he calls Bunchum, and this Banesius and others, as we shall afterwards hear, have mistaken for the Buna or Coffee Fruit.

The curious Enquiries which the learned Dr. Grew made concerning the Seeds, as well as all the other Parts of Plants, have furnish'd him with some very uncommon

Observations concerning the Costee Fruit in particular, besides what he has said about other Seeds, which will equally These last I leave to be agree to it. consulted in his excellent Anatomy of Plants; the others must not be omitted here. Having describ'd the Coverings that belong to Seeds, which he proves, in the greatest Part of them, to be three in Number, he observes, that in many there is a Vitellum or Body analogous thereto, which is neither Part of the true Seed, nor Part of the Covers; but distinct from them both. This he tells us makes fometimes the principal Part of the Fruit, being much bigger than the true Seed it felf; and in enumerating the different Figures, Dispositions, and other Properties of these Vitella, among the rest he observes, that in Goosegrass or Cliver it is of a horny Substance, but shap'd somewhat like a Bonet with the Rims tuck'd in; and so in the Coffee Berry, but rowl'd or folded up into a kind of oval Figure, with a Notch or Rima running thro' the Length, where the two Ends meet. This Passage contains the only Hint that is to be met with in Authors concerning the true Structure of the Coffee Kernel; and I hope it will be still better understood by the Account I now give of it.

Dr. Robinson has observ'd but little about these Kernels; under the second Skin, he says, lie generally two Kernels, sometimes one, round on one Side and flat on the other. On the flat Side of the Kernel there is always a Slit or Mouth; so that every Kernel does exactly resemble a Concha veneris.

Lemery compares the two together to a young Pea in Bigness; and says further, that they are of an oval Figure, easily parting into two Halves; of a yellowish Colour, with a Cast of white.

Langius fays the Kernel is of a mealy

Tournefort, that the Seeds are hard, of a whitish ash Colour, convex on one Side, flat on the other, and surrow'd; of a mealy Taste, and without any Smell; five or six Lines in Length, and three in Thickness.

Chomel and Andry agree in every thing with Tournefort; only the last adds, that these Seeds are very heavy in proportion to their Bulk.

Mr. Bradley, as we have already heard, has observed that the Coffee Fruit has two Kernels, which split in the Middle, like the Bay Berries of the Shops. It is true, the Coffee Kernels do split in the Middle, and so do the Bay Berries of the Shops; but wherein the Likeness of their splitting consists, I should be glad to learn.

M. De

M. De Jussien's Observations about the of a disagreeable Taste; but as the Fruit Kernels are these: 'In each of the inner Coats is contain'd a callous oval Seed, ' arched on the back Side, and flat on the other, in the Middle of which is a pretty deep Sulcus running thro' its whole Length. Sometimes one of these Seeds proves abortive, and then the other grows commonly bigger than it would otherwise have been; both Sides of it become more convex, and it fills up the whole Cavity 6 of the Fruit. Monf. La Roque has added some new

or gradual Formation of these Kernels: ' Under the Pulp, says he, lies the Bean or Grain which we call Coffee; and even when the Fruit has arriv'd at its full Bigness the Bean is extremely tender, and

Observations still, concerning the Progress

ripens, it acquires by degrees a little more · Solidity; and by the time that the Pulp is nearly dried up, the Bean is become pretty hard, and of a light green Colour, fwimming in a thick brown and bitter · Liquor.

Valentini tells us, that ' what is call'd Coffee, is nothing but the Kernels of certain small Nuts, consisting of two Parts, like Beans, arch'd on the upper Side, flat and furrow'd on the other; of a dark yellow Colour, mealy Taste, and Smell like that of burnt Beans. It is Pity this Author did not add, that it was the Smell of roafted Coffee he meant, and then the Comparison would not be amiss; neither are these two much different in Virtues, if we may believe the learned Doctor Cheyne.

ART. III.

The Seminal Plant, or true Coffee Seed.

I E have heard from Dr. Grew, that the main Body of the Kernel describ'd in the last Article, is not the true Seed, but only a Vitellum or Body analogous thereto; which he fometimes likewise calls the bulky or cartilaginous Cover of the Seed. As he is the only Author who has observ'd this Difference, so none but he has describ'd what the true Seed, as distinguish'd from the Vitellum, really is. 'The Fatus, or true Seed in the Coffee Ber-' ry, says he, lies in the inner or cartilaginous Cover, where one ' would not expect to find it, near the Top or Surface of the Back. The Lobes of the Seed are vein'd like two very minute ' Leaves, and join'd to a long Root like a Stalk, the End of ' which comes just to the Bottom of the Cover, ready for its Exit into the Ground." All this he has express'd by five Figures in Tab. 77. of his Anatomy of Plants; whereof the first exhibits the hilly or furrow'd Side of the Coffee Berry; the second, the Back; the third, the Back par'd a little, so as that the true Seed may appear in situ; the fourth, represents the true Seed taken out of the Kernel; and the fifth shews it very much magnify'd.

This is the Account which Dr. Grew has given us of the true Seed, (or, as it is call'd by Malpighi, and others fince his Time, the Seminal Plant) of the Coffee Fruit; and whoever is acquainted with Dr. Grew's Writings, knows, that according to him, in every Seminal Plant may be distinguish'd the Radicle, Lobes and Plume. This Remark was necessary in order to the understanding of some Terms which I shall be obliged to make use of in explaining what farther Observations I have made, both concern-

ing the Situation and Structure of the Seminal Plant.

It lies between the two Lamellæ of the Vitellum or Body just now describ'd, in a Bed exactly fitted to it, the Radicle always terminating at the Extremity of the Sulcus, which in an entire Kernel may be discover'd by a round Speck, of a different Colour from the rest of the Surface. As the Back of the Kernel is convex, the Seminal Plant, to accommodate it felf to that Figure, is likewise bent upwards, and so lies crooked. The Position of it is not exactly according to the Length of the Kernel, or parallel to the longest Diameter of it, but oblique; it being all on one Side of the Rima (as may be feen by Candle-light, even with the naked Eye) in an entire macerated Kernel. It is not, however, always on the same Side, but sometimes on the right, sometimes on the left; and yet this Polition is no ways casual, but regulated by the Rowl or Fold of the Berry; that is, the Seminal Plant lies always on that Side of the Sulcus to which the Process is fix'd.

When it is carefully taken out of the Kernel, the Figure of it resembles nothing so much as the Ace of Spades in Cards, only the Radicle is longer in proportion to the Lobes, than the Handle of that Spade is commonly made. The Colour of it appears then lighter than that of the Kernel. And the Radicle or little Root, as far as I can perceive, is exactly round, and runs tapering from one End to the other; that to which the Lobes adhere being smallest, as is well express'd in one of Dr. Grew's Figures. The Lobes or Leaves may easily be separated from one another all the Way to their Insertion into the Radicle; but no-

thing like a Plume is discernible betwixt them.

I have only further to remark, that in the Situation of this Seminal Plant, as well as in the whole Structure of the Kernel, the Wisdom and Contrivance of Nature is very discernible. The Extremity of the Radicle is placed in the weakest Part of the whole Kernel, and consequently finds the easiest Passage possible into the Ground; the two Lamellæ are there, as it were, only tuck'd in; and thus small Rimæ or Chinks must necessarily be left, which in dry'd Kernels we see oftentimes increas'd to very sensible Clefts: Besides, upon the least Swelling of the Kernel in the Ground, these Folds must extend themselves, and by this means likewise favour the Exit of the Radicle. Again, by the oblique Situation of the whole Seminal Plant, and always on that Side to which the Process is fix'd, they lie in the most secure Part of the whole

Kernel, which would have been quite otherwise had they lain strait, and so over the *Sulcus*. In fine, the Kernel it self is roll'd up in the manner we see it, not only for the Security of the Seminal Plant, but also that it may unfold by more easy Degrees, according as the Lobes and Plume are ready to expand themselves. The first of these Ends accounts likewise for the Necessity of the Process, the second for that of the Rima or Sulcus, and both of them for the Conveniency of a double Lamina in the Kernels. But as this unfolding will require Time, the Radicle probably gets a very sure Footing in the Ground, before the Seminal Leaves reach the Surface of it.

CHAP. IX.

The Culture of the Coffee Plant in England.

Aving already publish'd an Account of the Management of this Plant in its native Country, Arabia Felix, I shall here confine my self to the Culture of it in the Western and especially in the Northern Parts of Europe, the Directions to be observed herein being what it principally concerns us to be acquainted with. Very little has been publish'd on this Subject by any Author except Mr. Bradley, whose Observations I shall give in the same Order in which they appear'd; to these I shall subjoin the sew Remarks which have been made by other Botanists; and then conclude with a Paper of Instructions communicated to me by an ingenious Gardiner, Mr. Thomas Knowlton, founded entirely on his own Experience.

In his first Treatise on Cossee, Mr. Bradley tells us, that 'the Cossee Plant having now found its Way into England, it may be necessary to offer some proper Directions for its Culture, agreeable with the Method observable in the Amsterdam Garden. When we shall have an Opportunity to propagate these Trees from the Berries, we must then, immediately after they are gather'd, carefully take off the outside Husk, and separate the two Seeds which are found in each; clean them from the Pulp, and set them an Inch deep in Pots of sine Earth, which are already warm in a Bed prepar'd with Horse-litter, keeping

then with Water. From this Way of Management we may expect them to come up in less than two Months Time after sowing. And then for their further Improvement, you are only to remark, they love Warmth, little Air, a light sandy Earth, and much Water; and this last Hint answers to an Observation of that great Naturalist Dr. Sloane, where he tells us That the Arabians cut artificial Channels from the Rivers, on purpose to nourish these Plants. These Rules being well observed, we may expect them to bear Fruit in five Years Time from the

putting in of the Seed.

From his New Improvements in Planting and Gardening, we learn, that 'in the Culture of this Plant the Dutch Gardiners prepare a Soil for it compos'd chiefly of Sand; and the Refreshings they give it with Water are seldom and sparing in the Winter, but in the Summer it has a more plentiful Allowance, ' especially during the Time of its Blossom. About June they ' take it out of the House, and wash and cleanse the Leaves and Branches, and letting it remain in the Air till the Beginning of July, they then let it again in the Conservatory for flower-' ing. In April and August they give fresh Earth to the Plants, ' and they thrive extremely. In raising these Plants from the Seeds, they first separate the Kernels in each Seed, and after they are clean'd from the Mucilage about them they are immediately let two Inches deep in Pots fill'd with fandy Soil, and ' plung'd into Hot-beds. The Seeds, being thus order'd, must be kept moist by frequent Sprinklings of Water, till they come up, and the Glasses over them always kept close. ' fix Weeks after fowing they will begin to appear, and have two or three Leaves apiece before Winter. I have heard that ' unless the Seeds are sown as soon as gather'd, they will not come up; and hitherto there is no other Way known of pro-' pagating this Plant, but from Seeds: Tho' I think it would not be against Reason to try to inarch it upon some other Kind of ' Jalmin.

In the second Edition of his Treatise of Coffee, I find nothing material added to what we have set down from the first; but in his Monthly Treatises he has enlarg'd upon this Subject in several Places: The Sum of all he has said comes to this, That 'in the Amsterdam Gardens the Cossee Trees are kept constantly in a Glass Case, which as near as I can guess, says he, is about fifteen Foot long, and about twelve Foot wide; the Height about twenty Foot; the Front is all Glass; under the Floor is

an Oven for Fire, which leads into Flues, that after their Paf-' fage here and there, end in a Chimney, as other Stoves do. They use no Tanners Bark in this House, nor give the Plants ' any Air all the Summer, but thro' little Casements about a ' Foot square, placed about the Middle of the great Windows or Pannels of Glass; and even these little Casements are sel-'dom open'd, because there is a Door which opens out of this Glass Case into a large Greenhouse, which they commonly ' keep open in the Summer-time. Their Earth is very light. 'They begin to make the Fires in the Stoves in October, and con-' tinue it constantly till the Weather is warm enough in the ' Spring for the Plant. I suppose this continued Fire in the 'Stoves is necessary to continue the Growth of the Plants, when the Juices are once flowing; for to warm the House one Day, and let it cool the next, will certainly check the Growth of a Plant.

' It is observable, that when the Fruit is ripe, about the Bee ginning of July, it must be gather'd, and immediately the ' Seeds must be clear'd from the Pulp, and set in the Ground, otherwise they will not sprout. This Particular the Gardiner ' at Amsterdam, Mr. Cornelius, observes diligently, and tho' I sent ' some Berries fresh gather'd, by the Post, which were not above four Days in their Passage to London, to a very great Artist, they could not be made to grow; but when they are set imme-' diately, he tells us, that even in the natural Earth, he has feen ' some Coffee Plants above Ground within three Weeks after the ' Seed was put in the Ground; but then they must not be put ' in promiscuously in a Body of Earth, but planted an Inch or ' two deep in it. It is a Custom there, twice or thrice in a Summer to clean the Leaves of the Coffee Plants with wet ' Spunges, which takes off the Dust that stops the Pores of the Leaves. This I look upon to be of considerable Use, because I suppose the Leaves to receive some Nourishment from the Air, which circulates about them; and confequently the whole Eplant is benefited by it. I observ'd likewise, that the Gardiner there gave them frequent Waterings, a little at a time, because ' the Earth was very light; but especially in the Summer, when the green Fruit was towards ripening, he gave them more Water than at other times, that is towards June.

Mr. Bradley's latest Observations on the Culture of the Coffee Plant are contain'd in his Appendix to his New Improvements: 'I shall proceed, says he, to remark some Particulars relating to 'its Culture, which yet are not made publick. I have already

'given my Reasons why I suppose it to be a Jessamine; and have in my New Improvements prescrib'd the inarching of it upon the common Jessamine, as we do the Plant commonly known by the Name of the Arabian Jessamine, which I am persuaded will do very well, since every Day confirms me more and more that it is of that Tribe; however, I have heard from Mr. Knowlton, who was lately Gardiner to Dr. Sherrard, that in the Doctor's curious Gardens at Eltham, he rais'd the Cosse Trees both by Layers and Cuttings; so that if there may be some Difficulty in raising it from the Berry, there will be none

' in railing or propagating of it these Ways.

But there is one thing which still remains to be mention'd ' concerning the Management of the Coffee Tree, which I have only flightly touch'd upon in my New Improvements; and ' that is, the Necessity of washing the Leaves and Shoots about 'June, and even in September too. This must be done with a ' Spunge and Water, and if there is some Tobacco steep'd in the ' Water, I believe it will do good, for I find that the Leaves ' and Stalks of the Coffee Tree are apt to be cover'd, about ' June and July, with a kind of Mildew, such as may be ob-' serv'd on the Flower Stalks of Collyflowers, which afterwards changes to little Infects that will poison the Plant; these therefore should be carefully wash'd off as soon as we discover them, and is what is very strictly observ'd by the Gardiners in Holland, ' not only in this Case, but in the Culture of every Stove Plant. They have People on purpose to clean the Leaves of their House Plants, but more frequently the Coffee Tree than any other; and no Plants look better than theirs. I remember M. Corne-' lius, the curious Gardiner at the Physick Garden at Amsterdam, ' let some Seeds of the Coffee in a Pot which stood abroad, and they came up, and made as good an Appearance as any of those that were rais'd in the Bark Bed.

By these different Steps has Mr. Bradley arriv'd at that Degree of Insight into the true Method of cultivating the Coffee Tree, which he is at present Master of. His Knowledge thereof he owns to be owing, in a great measure, to what he observ'd in the Amsterdam Garden; but what I wonder at is, that in his latest Performances these Observations do not always agree with those publish'd in the former, 'that is nearer the time in which he had made them. For some Things likewise he has been oblig'd to M. Knowlton, whose Thoughts upon this Subject we shall hear presently at more Length, after we have mention'd a few Remarks more concerning the Culture of this Plant, from Messieurs De Jussieu and Tilli.

The first of these Authors has told us only, that if the Seeds are not immediately fet as foon as gather'd, they will never germinate; and that of this he has had several Proofs himself from Try-

als made in the Royal Garden at Paris.

Tilli remarks further, That in the Garden of Pifa, during the Month of August, he has ventur'd to take this Plant out of the Stove, and fet it under the Shade of some other Tree in the open Air, and that it was so far from suffering any Damage, that

it throve the better for so doing.

M. Knowlton's Account of the Culture of the Coffee Plant, is in a Letter dated at Petworth in Suffex, Feb. 4. 1725-6, and besides the Discoveries it contains, it deserves to be valued for this Reason likewise, because the Directions he gives are all taken from his own Experience of what he found to answer best, not from Conjectures and Hear-say only. They may therefore be securely depended on by all who have a mind to cultivate this Plant in England.

' In the latter End of July, 1723, fays he, the worthy Doctor ' Sherrard (with whose Brother I then liv'd) brought over from ' the Phylick Garden of Amsterdam, one Costee Tree of about ' three Foot high, and one Berry. The Berry, carefully co-' ver'd over with Wax, was given to me, with some Directions relating to the Culture of it, from Cornelius Voss, Gardiner at ' Amsterdam; but these being no ways agreeable to my own No-'tion, nor to the Practice of Gardening here in England, I begg'd ' Leave to use my own Skill, without being confin'd to them. ' The Berry being open'd, parted into two Seeds, and having ' prepar'd a good fresh rich sandy Soil, I put them into a small Pot fill'd therewith, about two Inches deep, and immediately 'after plung'd the Pot into a temperate Hot-bed. In about four

or five Weeks afterwards I had the Pleasure of seeing one of them come up, with his Cap on his Head; and the other fol-' low'd in a Week afterwards. They continu'd growing very fast

' both Winter and Summer alike, and in a Twelvemonth's Time

were above two Foot high.

' Having now three Trees in good Health, I relolv'd to try 6 Ionie Experiments relating to the Culture and Propagation of this Plant. The first was an Inarchment on the yellow Indian Gessamin, and likewise on the Arabian and Brasile white Jessa-' min; but all three without Success, tho' repeated each of them The next was to make an Incilion at a Joint, and 'twice over. ' to lay four Branches down in the same Soil in which the Trees This succeeded very well, for in about six Weeks ' were planted.

' time they were all well rooted, and fit to take off. Afterwards

' I took eight or nine Cuttings, at different times, and with a great deal of Care I got five of them to take Root very well.

'It would have been easy for me to multiply these Experiments,

but as I was now Master of twelve Trees, I thought it unne-

' cessary to give my self any farther Trouble, at that time, and

' I have not had so good an Opportunity since.

'In raising these Trees I used to give them frequent Water'ings, tho' but little at a time, and the Water being always well
'temper'd by standing a Day or two in a Stove beforehand:

This last I was particularly cautious about during the Winter.
I found by Experiment likewise, that this Tree ought by no means to have the Ends of the Shoots cut or shortened; all the pruning it will bear is to have its lowermost Branches lopt

off close to the Trunk.

Another Caution necessary to be observed, is to wash the Leaves often; for by long standing in the House they contract a Dust, and besides are very subject to a particular Sort of Insect that soils them, and prejudices the young Shoots, which generally lying on the under Side of the Leaf, may have done a great deal of Mischief before they are observed, except we be apprised of them beforehand. They seem peculiar to the Cossee Leaves, for I never found them on any other Plant.



CATALOGUE of all the AUTHORS mentioned in this TREATISE.

A Bubeter Rhazes.

Medicus Arabs. Ob. 932.

Ad Regem Mansorem Libri decem, Latinitate donati.
Basil. 1544. fol.
V. Lib. 3. c.22. p. 74.

Avicenna.

Medicus Arabs. Ob. 1036.

Liber Cannonis.
Basil. 1556. fol.
V. Lib. 2. Tract. 2. c. 91. p. 198. &
c. 82. p. 196.

Leonhartus Rauwolfius.

Medicus Augustanus.

Itinerarium Orientis.

Lugd. 1583. 4.

An Itinerary into the Eastern Countries.

Translated from the High Dutch, by Nicolas Staphorst.

Lond. 1693. 8.

Vid. Part 1. c. 8. p. 92.

Prosper Alpinus.

Italus, Botanices, &c. Professor Patavinus.

De Plantis Egypti Liber, Venet. 1592. 4. V. Edit. Petav. 1640. 4. cap. 16. p. 63.

Carolus Clusius.

Atrebas. Botanicus illustris.

Exoticorum Liber Septimus sive simplicium aliquot medicamentorum apud Indos nascentium bistoria, primum Lusitanica lingua a D. Garcia ab Horto conscripta, deinde Latino Sermone contracta Iconibus & Annotationibus illustrata. Antwerp. 1601. fol.

V. Edit. Ibid. 1605. fol. p. 236. inter omnia Opera, Tom. 2.

Johannes Gerardus.

Anglus. Chirurgus Londinensis.

The Herbal, or General History of Plans, enlarged and amended, by Thomas Jonson, Apothecary,
Lond. 1597. fol.
V. Lib. 3. c. 150. p. 1548.

Johannes Cotovicus.

Ultrajectinus.

Iter Hierofolymitanum. Ultraj. 1598.

Bernardus Paludanus.

M. D. Enchusanus.

Nota in Linschottum. Amstelod. 1599.

Honorius Bellus.

Vicentinus. M.D.

Ad Carolum Clusium Epistole de rarioribne quibusdam plantis. Antw. 1601. fol. V. Clus. Tom. 1. p. cccix. Epist. 4.

Johannes Bauhinus.

Basiliensis. Ob. 1613.

Historia Plantarum Universalis ; Vol. Ebrodun. 1650. fol. V. Tom. 1. Lib. 4. C. 5. p. 421.

Petrus de la Valle.

Nobilis Romanus.

Les Fameux Voyages en Turquie, Egypte, la Palestine, la Perse, &c.
Paris, 1670. 4to. 4 Vol.
V. Vol. 1. p. 53, 78.
Epist. datæ Constantinop. An. 1615.
1616.
D. GAR²

D. Garcias Silva Figuerva.

Hispanus.

L'Ambassade en Perse traduite de L'Espagnole par M. de Wigsort. Paris, 1661. 4to. V. p. 307. Legat. incæpta Ann. 1617. finit. 1624.

Casparus Bauhinus.

Basiliensis. Botanicus summus.

11/vag Theatri Botanici.
Basil. 1623. 4to.
V. Edit. Ibid. 1671. lib. 2. sect. 5. p.428.

Georgius Sandys.

Anglus.

A Relation of a Journey begun in 1610. In four Books, containing a Relation of the Turkish Empire.
Lond. 1627. fol.
V. p.66.

Adamus Olearius.

Germanus.

Voyages faits en Moscovie, Tartarie et en Perse traduits de L'Allemande et Augmentez par le Sieur de Wugsort. Lugd. Batav. 1719. fol. V. Vol. 2. p. 833. Iter Inccept. 1633. Edit. primo. Anno

Thomas Johnson.

Anglus Pharmacopolus & M. D.

Gerard's History of Plants enlarged and corrected.
Lond. 1636. fol.

Johannes Veslingius.

Mindanus.

De Plantis Egypti Observationes & Nota in Prosperum Alpinum. Petav. 1638. 4to. V. Edit. ibid. 1640. cap. 16. p. 63.

Johannes Parkinson.

Anglus. Pharmacop. Reg.

The Theatre of Plants, or an universal and complete Herbal.

Lond. 1640. fol.

V. Tribe 17. c. 79. p. 1622.

Gualtherus Rumsey.

Anglus.

Organon Salutis, an Instrument to cleanse the Stomach; as also divers Experiments touching the Virtues of Coffee and Tobacco. Lond. 1657. V. Edit. 1659. 12mo. p. 5, 9, 23, &c.

Theyenot.

Gallus.

Travels into the Levant, in three Parts; done into English from the French. Lond. 1687. fol. V. Part 1. p. 32. Part 2. p. 180. Reversus est circa 1660.

Laurentius Strausius.

Germanus.

The Manner of making Coffee, Tea, and Chocolate; translated into English.
Lond. 1685. 12mo.
Edit. Latin. Circit. 1660.

Simeon Pauli.

Danus. M. D.

Commentarius de Abusu Thea & Tabaci. Rostoch. 1661. 4.

Quadripartitum Botanicum de Simplicium Medicamentorum facultatibus. Argentor. 1667. 4to. V. p. 370, 396, &c.

Faustus Naironus Banesius.

Miaronita.

De Saluberrima Potione Cabué seu Casé nuncupata Discursus ad Eminentissimum Principem D. Jo. Nicol. Cardinalem de Comitibus. Rom. 1671.

Georgius Hieronymus Velschius.

Germanus. M. D.

Exercitatio de Vena Medinensi ad Mentem Ebusine. August. Vendelic. 1674. 4to. V. Cap. 12. p. 328.

Dominicus Chabræus.

Princip. Wirtimbergens. Medicus.

Omnium

Omnium Stirpium Sciographia & Icones. Genev. 1678. fol. V. Clas. 4. p. 32. Clas. 12. p. 90.

Henricus Mundy.

Anglus. M. D.

Bιοχρηςολογία feu Commentarii de Aere Vitali, Esculentis & Portulentis. Oxon. 1680. 8. V. De Potul. c. 14. p. 351.

Nehemias Grew.

Anglus. M. D.

The Anatomy of Plants.
Lond. 1682. tol.
V. Lib. 4. c. 3. p. 202. c. 4. p. 206.

Thomas Willis.

Anglus. M. D.

Pharmacentice rationalis seu Diatriba de Medicamentorum operationibus. Amstel. 1682. 4to. Edit. 1ma. 1674. V. sect. 7. c. 3. p. 129.

Johannes Baptista Tavernier.

Gallus. Chevalier Baron d'Aubonne.

Les six Voyages qu'il a fait en Turquie en Perse & aux Indes pendant C'espace de quarante ans. Paris, 1682. 3 Vol. 4to.

Paris, 1682. 3 Vol. 4to. V. Vol. 1. Lib. 5. c. 17. p. 580, 582.

Bernier.

Gallus. M.D.

Lettre sur le Caffé ecrite a M. Du Four. V. Du Four, p. 207.

Philippus Silvester Du Four.

Gallus. Mercator Lugdunensis.

Traittez Nouveaux & Curieux du Caffé du Thé, & du Chocolate. Lugd. 1683. V. Edit. 1688. a p. 1. ad 216.

Nicolas Blegny.

Gallus. M.D.

Le Bon usage du Caif e, du Thè, & du Chocolate, pour la preservation & pour la Gueri son des Maladies. Paris, 1687. 12.

Tancredus Robinson.

Anglus. M. D.

Letter to Mr. Ray, dated May 21. 1687. V. Philos. Letters between Mr. Ray and his Correspondents, publish'd by the Reverend Mr. Derham.
Lond. 1718. p. 207.

Johannes Ray.

Anglus. F. R. S.

Historia Plantarum, Tomus secundus. Lond. 1688. fol. V. p. 1691.

Cornelius Bontekoe.

Batavus. M. D.

Tractaat Van het Excellenste kruyd The Coffi en Chocolate. Amstel. 1689. 4to. V. p. 107, &c.

Claudius Salmasius.

Gallus.

De Homonymis Hyles Iatricæ. Traject. ad Rhen. 1689. fol. V. c. 78. p. 106.

Joh. Jacobus Berlu.

The Treasury of Drugs unlock'd. Lond. 1693. V. Edit. 1724. 12mo. p. 17.

Gulielmus Salmon.

Anglus. M. D.

Seplasium. The Compleat English Phyfician; or, Druggist's Shop open'd. Lond. 1693. 8. V. Lib. 8. c. 5. p. 858.

Thom. Pope Blount.

Anglus Eques Auratus.

A Natural History, containing many notcommon Observations. Lond. 1693. 8. V. p. 107.

Johannes

Johannes Pechey.

Anglus. M. D.

The Compleat Herbal of Physical Plants. Lond. 1694. 8. V. p. 243.

Petrus Pomet.

Gallus Aromatarius Parisiensis.

Histoire Generale des drogues.
Paris, 1694. fol.
V. Lib. 7. p. 204.

Hans Sloane.

M. D. Eq. Aurat. Collegii Med. Lond. & R. S. Præses dignissimus.

An Account of the Coffee Shrub. Lond. 1694. 4. V. Philos. Transact. No 208. p. 61.

Paulus Hermannus.

Saxo-Germanus. M. D.

Cynosura Materia Medica. Argentor. 1710. 4. V. Part 1. c. 5. p. 157.

Galand.

Gallus. Linguæ Arabicæ Professor Regius.

V. Monf. la Roque.

Jacobus Vanierus.

Gallus. E Soc. Jesu.

Columba & Vites sive pradium Rusticum. Paris, 1696. 8. V. Lib. 8. p. 156.

Leonhardus Plunknet.

Anglus. M. D.

Almazestum Botanicum. Lond. 1696. fol.

Nicolaus Lemery.

Gallus. M. D. Traitté Universelle des Drogues Simples. Paris, 1698. 4to. V. p. 129.

Casparus Commelinus.

Batavus Botanices Professor Amstælædamensis.

Catalogus Plantarum Usualium Horii Anssteladamensis. Amstel. 1698. 8.

Johannes Houghton.

Anglus. F. R. S.

A Discourse of Coffee.

Lond. 1699. 410.

V. Philos. Transact. N° 256. p. 311.

Du Mont.

Gallus.

Voyages en Turquie, &c. Hag. Com. 1699. 8. V. Vol. 4. p. 71, &c.

Ludov. Lemery.

Gallus. M. D.

A Treatise of Foods in general; translated from the French. Lond. 1706. 8. V. p. 316. Edit. Gall, 1702.

Christianus Johan. Langius.

Germanus.

Lectiones de Materia Medica. L'ps. 1704. fol. V. p. 389.

Joseph. Pitton Tournefort.

Aqui sextrensis. M. D. & Botanices Professor Parisiensis.

Traitté de la Matiere Medicale. Paris, 1717. 2 Vol. 8. V. Vol. 2. p. 98.

Samuel Dale.

Anglus. Pharmacopæus Brantriensis.

Supplementum Pharmacologia.

Lond. 1710. 8.

V. p. 491.

Joh. Baptista Chomel.

Gallus. M.D.

Abrege de L' histoire des plantes usuelles.
Paris. 1712. 8.
V. p. 243.

Nicolaus

Nicolaus Andry.

Gallus. M. D.

Traitté des Alimens du Carême. Paris, 1713. 2 Vol. 8. V. Vol. 2. p. 367.

Johannes Christophor. Volkamerus.

Germanus. M. D.

Epistola de Gelsemino Arabico, fructum Casé ferente Arbore, data 1714. V. Academ. Cæsareo-Leopoldinæ Ephem. Cent. 4. Obs. 168. p. 378. Noriberg. 1715. 4.

Richardus Bradley.

Anglus. Botan. Prof. Cantab. R. S. S.

A short historical Account of Coffee.

Lond. 12 mo.

New Improvements of Planting and Gar-dening.

Lond. 1718. 8.
V. Edit. 4. p. 389.

The Virtue and Use of Coffee, with regard to the Plague.

Ibid. 1721. 8.

Monthly Treatifes of Husbandry and Gardening.

Ibid. 1724. 3 Vol. 8.

V. Vol. 3. July, p. 65. August, p. 161.

Appendix to the New Improvements in Planting and Gardening.

Ibid. 1726. 8.
V. p. 63, 70.

Antonius de Jussieu.

Gallus. Botan. Prof. Parisiens. celeberrimus.

Histoire du Case, An. 1715.

V. Memoires de l'Academie Royale, 1713.

Amstelod. 1717. 8. p. 388.

Fontenelle.

Gallus, Acad. Reg. a Secretis.

Observation Botanique.
V. Memoires de l'Academie, 1716.
Amstelod. 1719. p. 42.

La Roque.

Gallus.

Voyage de l'Arabie Heureuse, avec un memoire concernant l'Arbre & le fruit du Café & un traite historique de l'Origine & du Progres du Cafè. Amstel. 1716. 8.

Michael Bernardus Valentini.

Archiater Hessiacus & Med. Prof. Giessen-fis.

Historia Simplicium reformata. Francof. ad Men. 1716. fol. V. L. 2. Sect. 5. c. 2. p. 194.

Johannes Quincy.

Anglus. M. D.

A compleat English Dispensatory.

Lond. 1718. 8.

V. p. 83.

Hermannus Boerhaave.

Batavus. Med. Botan. & Chem. Prof. Lugd. Batavus.

Index alter plantarum qua in Horto Lugduno Batavo aluntur. Lugd. Bat. 1720. 4. V. Part 2. p. 117.

Josephus Miller.

Anglus, Pharmacopæus Londinensis.

Botanicum officinale; or, a compendious Herbal.

Lond. 1722. 8.

V. p. 144.

Georgius Cheyne.

Scotus. M. D.

Tractatus de Insirmorum sanitate tuenda, vitaque producenda. Lond. 1726. 8. V. p. 86, 87.







